OXFORD JOURNAL
OF STUDENT
SCHOLARSHIP

Automatic Arterial Wall-Finding Algorithm

Samir Dewan
sdewan154@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Cardiovascular disease remains one of the leading causes of mortality worldwide, creating a growing need for accurate, efficient, and reliable vascular monitoring techniques. Arterial wall detection plays a critical role in assessing vascular health by enabling measurements such as arterial diameter, wall displacement, and pulse wave velocity (PWV), a key indicator of arterial stiffness and cardiovascular risk. Traditional arterial wall detection methods primarily rely on ultrasound imaging techniques, including Brightness-mode (B-mode), Motion-mode (M-mode), Doppler imaging, and edge detection algorithms. While these approaches have significantly advanced cardiovascular diagnostics, they remain limited by operator dependence, sensitivity to noise and imaging artifacts, inconsistent measurements, and time-intensive procedures.

This review examines the principles, advantages, and limitations of current ultrasound-based arterial wall detection methods. B-mode ultrasound provides detailed anatomical visualization but requires manual segmentation and is vulnerable to speckle noise and operator bias. M-mode ultrasound enables high-temporal-resolution motion tracking and PWV estimation but lacks comprehensive anatomical context. Doppler-based techniques provide valuable information regarding blood flow dynamics; however, they suffer from angle dependence, velocity limitations, and reduced spatial resolution. Edge detection algorithms can automate portions of the segmentation process but often struggle with low-contrast boundaries, fragmented edges, and variability across imaging conditions.

To address these challenges, the review explores the potential of automated arterial wall detection using raw radio-frequency (RF) ultrasound data. Unlike processed B-mode images, RF signals preserve detailed waveform information, enabling more precise identification and tracking of arterial boundaries. Algorithm-based approaches utilizing RF data can reduce operator bias, improve measurement consistency, enable real-time analysis, and enhance the accuracy of PWV estimation. Furthermore, modern automation techniques, such as including traditional image processing, model-based segmentation, and deep learning systems are discussed as emerging solutions capable of improving detection reliability and scalability. Continued advancements in RF signal analysis, machine learning, and real-time processing may facilitate the development of highly accurate automated systems that improve cardiovascular diagnosis, monitoring, and patient outcomes.

June 2026
Vol 8. No 1.

INTRODUCTION

Cardiovascular problems remain the leading causes of mortality worldwide. Therefore there is a pressing need for a novel monitoring system to ensure the safety and well-being of patients. This review is meant to provide an overview of arterial wall detection and how it can be automated. Detection is conducted with techniques that use ultrasound, some of which include Brightness-mode imaging, Doppler imaging, and edge detection [1]. All three of these methods are conducted using ultrasound and a manual operator. This review will go in depth on these types of imaging, will focus on the need for a new method, and explain how arterial wall detection has been done in the past.

METHODS

This study was conducted as a comprehensive literature review examining current and emerging methods of arterial wall detection. The primary objective was to evaluate the effectiveness, limitations, and potential improvements of ultrasound-based arterial wall detection techniques, with particular emphasis on automated methods utilizing radio-frequency (RF) ultrasound data.

Research articles, review papers, medical textbooks, and technical publications were collected from peer-reviewed scientific databases and reputable medical sources. Sources were selected based on their relevance to arterial wall detection, pulse wave velocity (PWV) measurement, ultrasound imaging, vascular diagnostics, and automated image-processing techniques. Particular attention was given to studies discussing B-mode ultrasound, M-mode ultrasound, Doppler imaging, edge detection algorithms, RF signal analysis, and machine learning applications in medical imaging.

The collected literature was organized into categories based on the detection method being studied. Each method was analyzed according to several criteria, including imaging principle, measurement capabilities, operator dependence, susceptibility to noise and artifacts, ability to measure pulse wave velocity, computational requirements, and overall clinical applicability.

A comparative analysis was then performed to identify common limitations among existing techniques. Factors such as manual segmentation requirements, measurement variability, sensitivity to speckle noise, imaging resolution, and processing efficiency were evaluated. The advantages and disadvantages of each method were documented and compared to determine areas where improvements are needed.

Following the analysis of traditional methods, current automated arterial wall detection approaches were investigated. Special focus was placed on RF-data-based algorithms, model-based segmentation techniques, and deep learning systems. These technologies were evaluated for their potential to reduce operator bias, improve measurement precision, enable real-time arterial wall tracking, and enhance pulse wave velocity estimation.

The findings from all reviewed sources were synthesized to assess the future potential of automated arterial wall detection systems and identify promising directions for continued research and development.

Arterial Wall Detection

Arterial wall detection allows for the identification of arteries from ultrasound images and allows physicians to detect underlying problems with patients' vasculature. It is a process that must be precise, as one mistake can lead to the lack of cardiovascular disease (CVD) detection. Detection of cardiovascular disease is extremely important, as "more than half a billion people (over 500 million) are affected by cardiovascular diseases globally" [2]. It is necessary to prevent the death of millions and ensure the well-being of people who suffer from cardiovascular complications during diagnosis and through different stages of life. This is why detection is so important, and a new method is needed to ensure the safety of millions in an efficient way.

Current Factors that Limit Detection Efficiency

Ultrasound imaging coupled with clinical analysis by a physician is the primary method of arterial wall detection. However, manual arterial wall detection is highly time-consuming, often requiring a trained expert more than 30 minutes to analyze magnetic resonance vessel wall imaging for a single patient [3]. Even though detection is time consuming, accuracy is not guaranteed, as two different clinicians may map arteries in different ways. In order to determine pulse wave velocity (PWV), an automated system is needed. Pulse Wave Velocity is the speed at which the pressure wave generated by the heart's pumping action travels through the arterial system. Finding PWV is important because it provides a direct measure of arterial stiffness, which is a main indicator for cardiovascular disease. Most PWV methods rely on ultrasound that is manually operated, therefore making detection subject to human error, and inconsistent, even across clinicians. A lot of methods are also time consuming, which means that they do not function for larger data sets, and are inefficient to calculate PWV.

To increase efficiency in the world of detection, a new method must be proposed, one that can detect arterial walls efficiently using only raw Radio Frequency [RF] data. Radio Frequency data is electromagnetic radiation to transfer information between two points without a direct electrical connection. Using RF data would be much less time consuming and would make PWV calculations less intensive. Not only this, an automated method would eliminate operator bias, meaning that there is no change in detection using an automated method depending on where and how it is done. Currently, detection is flawed in many ways. However, an automated system would change that.

To show challenges faced in common detection methods, an in-depth explanation of different arterial-wall finding methods will be done.

This literature review focuses on arterial wall finding methods that rely heavily on ultrasound imaging, which leads to the first type of detection, B-mode ultrasound:

Brightness-mode Ultrasound and Its Flaws

In the past, arterial wall mapping has been done in a variety of ways. The main way detection is conducted is via manual segmentation of B-mode (brightness-mode) ultrasound images. B mode is a method in which an ultrasound transducer sends high-frequency ultrasound waves into the body, and then maps out arteries in a system called manual segmentation, which measures the echoes of waves that bounce back [4].

The mapping of arterial walls is based on reflection of high frequency sound waves. Once ultrasound waves encounter any tissues with different acoustic tendencies (such as between blood and the arterial wall) the waves are reflected back to the transducer [5]. Based on the time it takes for waves to reflect, and the amplitude of these waves, the system is able to map out arteries. Stronger reflections, such as those from dense tissue, appear as bright, hyperechoic regions. Meanwhile, weaker reflections, such as fluid filled spaces, result in darker, hypoechoic regions. Once a full greyscale image of an arterial system is created, a manual operator must visualize anatomical structures such as vessels and organs. This process is called edge detection.

Although B-mode is widely used, there are many flaws with this type of detection. First and foremost, there is widespread inaccessibility of PWV detection. Although a high resolution B-mode probe can detect PWV, it is much more expensive than a standard B-mode probe. Not only this, B-mode probes that can detect PWV are not common, which means that detection is impossible in certain clinical settings.

Also, B-mode detection of PWV is location based, which means that detection of PWV over different parts of the body is time-consuming. Since B-mode creates an image in only one area of the body, data can not be collected for the whole body, which makes detecting PWV inefficient.

The method of detection of arterial walls in B-mode images is heavily affected by operator bias, and fully depends on the operators skill-set. Not only this, B-mode imaging is a method that is ultimately inaccessible for many people, as trained cardiac sonographers are not readily available to measure.

Manual segmentation is also time consuming, as a single operator has to manually detect artery borders and outline arterial walls. Due to this, it is impossible to measure real time PWV using manual segmentation, as this method is much too time-consuming.

B-mode imaging can also be affected by speckle noise caused from interference of scattered waves. These waves can be caused by red blood cells that contain hemoglobin, causing waves to ultimately refract and scatter [8].

Finally, B-mode measuring is also limited by contrast resolution, which may prevent arterial maps from being fully accurate, due to the lack of detailed images. While B-mode imaging is widely used, and can be a beneficial/affective way to map out arteries, it is ultimately flawed by factors such as operator bias, inaccessibility, time-consumption, visual interference, and low resolution [10].

Edge Detection and Its Flaws

Detection methods produce images of arteries and other anatomical structures. Edge detection then isolates and maps out vessel walls by identifying high intensity transitions that correspond to anatomical boundaries. In B-mode ultrasound, arteries typically appear as tubular structures with relatively dark appearances, due to blood refracting waves instead of reflecting them. These arteries are surrounded by walls that reflect ultrasound waves strongly, leading to a brighter appearance in medical images. Due to this difference in appearance, edge detection aims to capture brightness gradients between arteries and tissue.

This process begins with pre-processing the image to reduce speckle noise, caused by interference due to scattering waves. Pre-processing is done using filters such as Gaussian smoothing, or median filtering. These filters reduce interference while preserving arterial wall edges. After filtration, gradient-based algorithms such as the Canny edge detector are applied to measure gradients in different areas of these images, highlighting regions where pixel intensity changes rapidly [11]. The Canny method is especially effective because it involves multiple stages. First, the image is smoothed and developed using a Gaussian filter. This process reduces speckle noise, making the image clearer and arterial walls stand out more. Next, the algorithm computes the gradient intensity of the image. This produces two key outputs: gradient magnitude, and gradient direction. Gradient magnitude is a measure of the strength of an ultrasound image in certain areas, this indicates areas in which arterial walls are prevalent. Gradient direction is also key. It indicates edge orientation, helping the algorithm map out any curves and changes in arterial walls. The third step is non-maximum suppression, which thins the detected edges. Instead of keeping high-gradient pixels, the algorithm retains only key points along the direction of the gradient. This step is crucial as it converts thick, blurry edges into precise walls, improving measurement accuracy and making boundaries easy to quantify. Finally, the Cammy method applies double thresholds to track edges. Two thresholds are defined, one high, and one low. Pixels with gradient magnitude above the high threshold are classified as strong edges, while those between the two thresholds are considered weak edges. Weak edges are only retained if connected to strong edges, and this step eliminates speckle noise while preserving the continuous structure of arterial walls [12]. Overall, edge detection, particularly the Canny method, is an approach used for noise reduction, edge localization, and continuity enforcement. Although edge detection is widely used, and may provide a reliable foundation for the mapping of arteries, it holds many flaws.

One major issue is sensitivity to speckle noise. Most edge detection methods, including the Canny Edge Detector, rely on identifying strong gradients. However, noise also produces high frequency variations that can look like edges. Even though filters such as Gaussian smoothing are used beforehand, they are not always efficient. Too much smoothing can remove real edges, while too little may leave speckle noise [13].

Another key flaw is threshold dependence, Many algorithms require carefully chosen parameters. If these thresholds are too high, then real edges with low gradients would be missed. However, if these thresholds are too low, they may result in excessive noise and false boundaries. An automated system would be able to directly change thresholds based on the amount of speckle noise in the image [13].

A third limitation is poor performance on low-contrast edges. Some edges, especially in biological tissue, are not always represented by sharp changes in intensity. Arterial walls may appear blurred due to resolution limits. In these cases, edge detectors struggle because gradients are weak and spread out, causing incomplete or broken edge detection [13].

Edge detection may also result in the mapping of irrelevant structures. Since these algorithms do not "know" what an artery is, they may detect things such as surrounding tissue layers, artifacts or shadows. This is especially problematic in ultrasound, where many structures produce similar intensity transitions. Without additional models, edge detection algorithms can not distinguish meaningful anatomy from meaningless clutter [13].

Another problem is edge fragmentation and discontinuity. Even with thresholds, detected edges are often broken into segments rather than forming smooth, continuous structures. For arterial wall mapping, continuity is crucial, so extra processing is required. This introduces operator bias, added complexity, and is time-consuming [13].

There is also a tradeoff between localization and detection. Smoothing improves speckle noise but may shift or blur true edge location, reducing accuracy. Even small localization errors can significantly affect detection results [13].

Finally, edge detection methods are often inefficient due to imaging variability. Changes in probe angle, imaging depth, or patient anatomy can all affect gradient intensity patterns. A method tuned for one dataset may fail on another, effectively limiting wide-spread access [13].

Due to these limitations, edge detection is often used alongside other methods. For example, in B-mode imaging, edge detection is combined with filtering, or model-based tracking to improve accuracy [13].

M-mode vs. B-mode ultrasound

Another main method of arterial wall detection is M-mode (motion-mode) ultrasound. While B-mode develops an image of a structure, M-mode develops a graph that displays the movement of an arterial system over time. Therefore, unlike B-mode, traditional M-mode measuring is effective in finding PWV, as this method measures the real time movement of arterial walls and blood. Traditional B-mode is ineffective in measuring PWV because unlike M-mode, it creates an image of an arterial system, which is time consuming and can not be done in real time. In simple terms, B-mode ultrasound is a measure of what an arterial system looks like, while M-mode ultrasound tracks the movement of an arterial system. M-mode is used for tracking the movement of a system to detect any anomalies, meanwhile, B-mode is used mapping out an arterial system to determine how it is shaped. Both are important in their own ways, M-mode is needed to analyze the movement of structure in real-time, therefore helping detect PWV. B-mode is needed to map out arterial systems, which helps determine the anatomical structure of a person and guides image-based procedures such as injections and biopsies. While both are effective in their own ways, M-mode ultrasound is ultimately more effective at determining PWV than B-mode.

M-mode Ultrasound and Its Flaws

M-mode ultrasound works by repeatedly sending high frequency ultrasound pulses along a single, fixed scan line and recording how echoes from structures along that line change over time, allowing it to capture motion with extremely high resolution. First, the transducer emits a pulse of sound waves that travel into the body and reflect off interfaces where there are changes in the resistance different structures have against these waves, such as in between blood and arterial walls. These echoes then return to the transducer and are converted into electrical signals. Instead of sweeping these pulses across a wide area like B-mode, M-mode keeps these pulses locked in one direction and rapidly repeats these waves hundreds to thousands of times per second. Each returning echo is plotted based on its depth, and each pulse is displayed next to the previous one along a horizontal axis, ultimately creating a graph. On this graph, the vertical axis shows depth, while the horizontal access displays time. In this graph, stationary objects appear as straight horizontal lines, while moving structures, like arterial walls expanding and contraction from each heartbeat, form wavy patterns. Because the system is not spending time scanning across multiple lines, it achieves very high frame rates, making it sensitive to subtle movement. This is extremely important because tracking subtle movement is the basis for detecting PWV. In arteries, M-mode allows for previous tracking of the displacement of the near and far vessel walls, enabling tracking of distance change, wall velocity, and timing differences that are critical for tracking pulse wave velocity. Essentially, M-mode transforms ultrasound from an imaging tool (such as B-mode) to a motion tracking system. Allowing for real-time information that helps determine PWV effectively. Although M-mode is effective in tracking real-time movement of arterial systems, there are still many flaws with this type of ultrasound.

The biggest flaw of M-mode ultrasound is that it only collects data along a single scan line, which means it completely lacks lateral information. If the artery is not perfectly aligned with that line, or the line shifts slightly during scan, the system may miss part of the structure and therefore produce inaccurate measurements. This narrow line of measurement makes M-mode ultrasound much less inefficient than other methods such as B-mode when it comes to capturing the full anatomical structure of an arterial system.

Another limitation is operator dependence. Because the accuracy of M-mode relies on placing the ultrasound beam exactly through the region of interest, a very highly trained individual needs to be the one operating the system which limits its application. If the operator does not correctly align the line that ultrasound waves follow, the data recorded may not be accurate.

M-mode ultrasound also assumes that the motion of an arterial system occurs primarily along the direction of the ultrasound beam, however, this is not always the case in real arteries. For example, arterial walls can move in complex ways such as sideways or rotational.

Another issue of M-mode is its sensitivity to noise and artifacts, such as speckle noise or reverberations. These artifacts can make it difficult to identify the boundaries of structures over time, especially when trying to track subtle changes like arterial wall displacement. Ultimately, this can reduce accuracy and reliability.

In addition, M-mode can not generate a full 2D image of an arterial system, therefore, it lacks anatomical context. Without a broad view, it can be difficult to identify what structures the ultrasound beam is going through. For this reason, clinicians typically rely on B-mode imaging first to locate the target before switching to M-mode to analyze the motion of the structure. This means that M-mode often relies on another system to work, ultimately reducing its usefulness if B-mode is not available.

Finally, M-mode is vulnerable to external motion, such as patient movement or shifts in probe position. Since the system only interprets data across the scan line, any unintended movements may result in errors.

Doppler Imaging

Doppler imaging is a technique that utilizes ultrasound to measure and visualize motion, most commonly blood flow, by taking advantage of the doppler effect. When an ultrasound transducer sends sound waves into the body, those waves reflect off moving objects like red blood cells. If the blood is moving towards the transducer, the reflected waves return at a higher frequency. If the blood is moving away, ultrasound waves return at a lower frequency. The ultrasound system detects these tiny changes in frequency, called the doppler shift, and converts these changes into data about the velocity, direction, and pattern of blood flow. This allows clinicians and researchers to go beyond just seeing anatomy, and instead understand how blood is actually moving through these anatomical structures in real time.

In arterial wall studies, doppler imaging is especially important because it allows clinicians to link structure and motion. For example, B-mode ultrasound may show the shape of an artery, while Doppler can reveal how blood is flowing through that artery, and how this flow affects vessel walls.

As a whole, Doppler imaging can be thought of as transforming ultrasound from an imaging tool into a measurement system. Instead of providing images of anatomical structures, doppler provides insight into the speed of blood flow, and how motion changes over time during the cardiac cycle. Depending on the specific type of doppler mode used, this information can be displayed in different ways.

Although there are different types of doppler imaging, all methods fundamentally rely on the doppler effect;

The Doppler Effect

The doppler effect is a physical phenomenon that occurs when there is motion between a wave source and an observer, causing the observed frequency of the wave to change. In simple terms, if the source of a wave (like sound) is moving closer, the waves get compressed, so one detects a higher frequency (or pitch). If the source is moving away, the waves get stretched out, and one detects a lower frequency. For example, as a police siren approaches, the pitch sounds higher, and as it passes and moves away, the pitch sounds lower.

In ultrasound imaging, this same principle is applied to measure motion inside the body. The ultrasound transducer sends sound waves into the body, and these waves reflect off moving objects like red blood cells. If the blood cells are moving towards the transducer, the reflected waves come back with a higher frequency; if the blood cells are moving away from the transducer, the frequency is lower. This ultrasound system measures the shift in frequency, the Doppler Shift, and uses it to calculate the velocity and direction of the blood flow.

Color Doppler and Its Flaws

Color Doppler ultrasound is a technique that overlays blood flow onto a standard B-mode image, allowing an operator to see both anatomy and flow overlap within a system. It works by using the doppler effect to estimate the speed and direction that blood is moving within the region of the image. The ultrasound system sends our multiple pulses along each scan line and analyzes the returning echo from moving red blood cells. It then assigns colors based on the direction and velocity of flow. Commonly, flow towards the transducer is shown as red and flow away from the transducer is shown as blue. Although blood can appear in different colors depending on oxidation level, color doppler has nothing to do with this, and simply diagrams blood in different colors based on their flow and its direction. The final image is a real-time color map that shows the flow of blood within the regular 2D image created by B-mode. This helps detect abnormal flows, and determine whether blood is flowing normally through an artery.

One major flaw of color doppler is its angle dependence. Color doppler only measures the component of blood flow that is directly aligned with the ultrasound beam. If flow is not parallel to the beam, the detected signal becomes weaker, leading to underestimated or missed blood flow.

Another major flaw of color doppler is its measurable image. When blood velocity exceeds the system's measurable limit, the displayed colors warp and appear incorrect (such as flow suddenly switching directions). This can make high-velocity flow look confusing.

Because Color Doppler requires multiple pulses to estimate velocity, it updates slower compared to other ultrasound modes. This can make it harder to accurately capture rapid changes in blood flow. It also makes color doppler more time consuming.

Another issue is external movement: including movement from the patient, surrounding tissues, or the probe itself which can create false doppler signals. These factors may appear as color even though no blood flow exists in that area.

Color Doppler also has poor sensitivity to low velocity blood flow. The system can struggle to detect very slow blood flow or flow in small vessels because the signal is too slow, causing important details to be missed.

Finally, when color doppler is overlaid onto a B-mode image, fine details can become less clear due to reduced resolution. This trade-off can make it harder to precisely map out vessel boundaries and blood flow within these boundaries.

Spectral Doppler and Its Flaws

Spectral doppler ultrasound is a technique used to average the velocity of blood flow over a period of time, providing a detailed analysis of how blood moves through arteries throughout different stages in the cardiac cycle. Like other doppler methods, it is based on the *Doppler effect,* where the frequency of returning waves depends on the velocity and direction of blood flow. However, instead of displaying this information as colors on an image, spectral doppler focuses on processing the signal using mathematical formulas to separate and display the full range of velocities present at a specific location. The result is a wave graph where the x-axis represents time and the y-axis represents velocity, and the brightness of the waveform indicates how many blood cells are moving at a certain speed. This allows clinicians to observe key flow characteristics such as peak velocity, end velocity, and overall flow patterns, which are critical for diagnosing systems such as abnormal blood circulation. There are two main types of spectral doppler, pulsed wave (PW) doppler, and continuous wave (CW) doppler. PW doppler measures velocity at a specific depth. However, it is limited by high speeds. Meanwhile, CW doppler can measure very high velocities but lacks depth resolution.

Spectral doppler is especially useful because it provides numerical data about blood flow, making it essential for comparing measurements over time and assessing the severity of vascular disease. Although spectral doppler is extremely useful, it still holds a number of flaws and limitations:

Like all other forms of ultrasound detection, spectral doppler suffers from angle dependence. Measurements are highly dependent on the angle between the ultrasound beam and the direction of blood flow. If the angle is not properly aligned, ideally parallel, the calculated velocities can be significantly underestimated, leading to inaccurate results.

In PW doppler, high blood velocities can exceed the system's limit, which causes aliasing. This results in the waveform appearing “wrapped around”, which can make interpretations of true velocities difficult.

Not only this, but CW doppler suffers from the lack of depth resolution. It cannot isolate a specific depth, meaning the system records velocities from all structures along the beam path. This makes it unclear exactly where the measured flow is originating.

Another flaw of spectral doppler is broadening. The waveform can appear widened due to factors like turbulence, large sample volume, or system settings. This can make it harder to distinguish between true flow characteristics and artifacts.

Spectral doppler provides detailed velocity data but does not show a full anatomical image. Without B-mode or color doppler, it can be difficult to determine the exact location of measurement.

Spectral doppler signals can also be affected by electronic noise, motion artifacts, and signals from surrounding tissues. This can distort the waveform and reduce measurement accuracy.

Finally, like most other forms of ultrasound detections, spectral doppler suffers from operator dependence. Accurate measurements require careful placement of the ultrasound beam and proper probe angle. Human error in technique can lead to incorrect velocity numbers and misinterpretation.

All present day forms of ultrasound detection ultimately contain flaws. While they each have their own benefits, such as creating 2d images of arteries in B-mode, or detecting direction of blood flow within these arteries in M-mode, they all suffer from different factors. All present day detection suffers from operator dependence, while specifically, some methods may suffer from the lack of depth resolution, or sensitivity to noise. These factors may undermine the accuracy of detection, and may lead to the inaccurate treatment of a patient. That is why it is extremely important for a new method of arterial wall detection to be introduced. An automatic, system based method would cut down on operator dependence, while improving on issues that many other forms of detection face.

Overview

Research Method	How It Works	Main Purpose	Major Limitations
B-mode Ultrasound	Creates a grayscale image from reflected ultrasound waves	Visualize arterial anatomy and vessel walls	Operator bias, speckle noise, time-consuming manual segmentation
Edge Detection	Uses image gradients and filters to locate vessel boundaries	Automatic wall identification from B-mode images	Sensitive to noise, threshold selection, fragmented edges
M-mode Ultrasound	Tracks motion along a single ultrasound scan line over time	Measure arterial wall movement and PWV	Limited anatomical information, alignment-dependent

Color Doppler	Uses Doppler shift to visualize blood flow direction and speed	Assess blood flow through arteries	Angle dependence, aliasing, lower resolution
Spectral Doppler	Produces velocity-time waveforms of blood flow	Quantitative flow analysis	Angle dependence, depth limitations, noise sensitivity
RF Signal Analysis	Uses raw ultrasound signals before image processing	High-precision arterial wall tracking and PWV measurement	Requires advanced signal processing algorithms
Deep Learning (CNN/U-Net)	Learns vessel boundaries from labeled datasets	Automated arterial wall segmentation	Requires large training datasets and computational resources

Figure 2: Chart showcasing an overview of different detection methods

Algorithm Based Automatic Arterial Wall Detection

Theoretically, an algorithm based method for detecting arterial walls using radio-frequency (RF) data would focus on analyzing the raw backscattered signals before they are converted into a standard B-mode image. RF data preserves much more information about the ultrasound echoes, such as exact waveform shape, velocity, and amplitude. Therefore, an algorithm can use these characteristics to more accurately identify where the arterial walls are located. The basic idea is to process each ultrasound scan line and detect the strong reflections that occur when the ultrasound wave encounters the boundaries between blood and the arterial wall.

First, the RF signals are processed to make relevant features easy to detect. This may include filtering to remove any noise, such as putting thresholds in place, estimating signal amplitude, and normalization so that signals from different elements can be compared consistently. Because arterial walls create strong acoustic changes compared to blood, they typically produce high-amplitude echoes in the RF signal. The algorithm can then scan along the depth of each RF line and search for peaks in signal amplitude that correspond to these strong reflections.

Next, the algorithm performs feature detection along each scan line. For every beam line, it analyzes the RF signal as a function of depth and looks for patterns associated with the near wall and the far wall of the artery. For example, the first strong reflection that comes after the area containing blood may correspond to the near arterial wall, while a second strong reflection may correspond to the far wall. Gradient based methods can be used to identify where the signal changes sharply, which usually indicates a boundary between tissues.

After wall locations are identified, the algorithm detects any reflections that are consistent across neighboring elements. Consistent reflections are then mapped out to identify the near and far arterial walls. Because an arterial wall is a continuous structure, the detected wall positions should vary in little amounts across parallel scan lines. The algorithm can enforce this by smoothing the detected positions and rejecting outliers to create a continuous boundary estimate.

Finally, the near and far wall positions can be used to determine measurements such as arterial diameter, wall displacement, or movement over time. If RF frames are processed in sequence, the algorithm can track how the wall positions change during the cardiac cycle, which is essential to determine PWV measurements or arterial wall stiffness. By working directly with RF data rather than B-mode images, this approach can achieve higher precision and reduce the operation bias that comes from manual segmentation and edge detection, while also enabling faster and real-time arterial wall detection.

Explaining RF Data

RF data is the raw electrical signal produced by the ultrasound transducer after it receives echoes from tissue. These are the signals that are present before data is processed into a grayscale B-mode ultrasound image. When an ultrasound probe sends a pulse of a high-frequency wave into the body, that sound wave travels through tissue and reflects back whenever it encounters a boundary between materials with different acoustic properties. For example, sound waves travel through blood and get reflected when they encounter an arterial wall. The returning ultrasound echoes causes the transducer to vibrate, which generates an electrical waveform. This waveform is the RF signal, a high frequency signal that contains detailed information about the amplitude and timing of reflected sound waves. RF data is obtained directly from the pulse-echo process of ultrasound imaging. First, the transducer emits a short ultrasound pulse into the tissue. As the pulse moves, parts of it are reflected back due to changes in ultrasound reflectivity inside the body. The transducer then acts as a receiver and converts those returning ultrasound waves into electrical signals. Each transducer element records a time-varying RF signal, where the time delay corresponds to the depth. That is simply because echoes from deeper structures take longer to return. The ultrasound samples these signals at very high frequencies, and stores them as RF data for each scan line. Before a 2d ultrasound image is displayed, this RF data usually undergoes processing such as beamforming and log compression.

RF data can also be computed during beanforming, which combines signals from the transducer to focus the ultrasound beam and form scan lines. During beamforming, the system applies time delays to create an RF signal that corresponds to the particular direction in an image. These beamformed RF lines represent the reflected ultrasound energy as a function of depth along each beam. From these RF signals, further processing produces the 2d B-mode image that clinicians use.

One of the biggest benefits of using RF data directly is that it contains much more information than processed ultrasound images. B-mode imaging compresses and simplifies the signal in order to visualize it, but during this process, it removes crucial information. RF data preserves the full waveform, allowing algorithms to analyze details such as subtle phase shifts, echo timing, and waveform patterns. This can significantly improve tasks like arterial wall detection, motion tracking, and tissue characterization, because small changes in RF signal can reveal movements that may not be visible in the processes B-mode image.

Another advantage is that RF data allows for higher precision measurements. Because the waveform is sampled at very high frequencies, algorithms can estimate wall displacement or boundaries with very high accuracy. This is particularly useful for applications like measuring arterial wall motion or calculating PWV, where very small wall displacements occur throughout the cardiac cycle and with each heartbeat.

Finally, RF data can reduce bias and information loss due to image processing. Techniques like log compression are designed to improve visual contrast for human observation, but they can distort signals. By analyzing RF data directly, algorithms can operate on the most accurate and unchanged representation of ultrasound echoes, which can lead to more accurate automated detection methods compared to approaches that rely on processing information in order to create B-mode images.

Benefits of an Automated System

An automated arterial wall detection system provides several important advantages over other operator dependent methods, particularly in ultrasound imaging and vascular measurements.

One major benefit is reduced operator bias. In manual segmentation, different clinicians may outline arterial walls slightly differently, which may cause measurements to vary due to different clinicians. This causes measurements such as arterial diameter and wall thickness to vary and potentially be inaccurate. An automated system applies the same method of detection every time, producing consistent measurements regardless of who is performing the scan. Ultimately, this makes measurements accurate and consistent. [12]

Another important advantage of an automated system is improved efficiency and a less time-consuming nature. Manual outlining of arterial walls takes a lot of time, especially when an operator must analyze many frames of ultrasound data. Automated systems can process large datasets much faster, making detection much less time consuming and more efficient. [12]

Automation also enables arterial wall detection to happen in real time, which is useful in detecting PWV and arterial stiffness measurements. If the arterial walls can be detected automatically while the ultrasound is performed, the system can continuously track arterial wall motion during iterations of the cardiac cycle. This means that any irregular heartbeats can be detected in real-time. [14]

A further benefit is greater measurement precision. An automated algorithm that utilizes RF data can detect subtle signals and small wall movements that would be difficult for a clinician to identify visually. This allows for more accurate measurements such as arterial diameter changes or wall displacement during the cardiac cycle. [14]

Automated systems also make large scale studies and clinical screening more practical. Because the algorithm can process many images quickly and consistently, it becomes possible to study large patient datasets and perform widespread cardiovascular studies without requiring human operators and excessive labor. [12]

Finally, an automated algorithm improves reliability across different operators and clinics. Manual methods such as B-mode may result in inaccurate data due to operator bias, but when an automated algorithm is introduced, the results are less dependent on technique. This helps ensure that measurements are accurate and comparable across different clinics and places.

Automatic Wall Detection in Today's World

Automated arterial wall detection is mainly done using three major technological approaches: traditional image processing algorithms, model based segmentation methods, and modern deep learning systems. All of these approaches aim to automatically locate the lumen boundary and outer arterial wall in ultrasound so that measurements like diameter, wall thickness, or plaque size can be computed without having to be measured manually. [18]

One method of automated arterial wall detection uses traditional image processing techniques such as thresholds that analyze features in ultrasound images such as gradients, edges, and texture patterns. [18] These algorithms identify areas where the image intensity changes sharply, which often corresponds to the boundary between blood and arterial walls. Edge detection methods are commonly used to locate these transitions, and the algorithm then refines the detected edges to form a continuous outline of the artery wall. Although this method uses edge detection, it does not rely on an operator to conduct manual segmentation. Instead, an algorithm follows a pre-written sequence of steps that analyze the ultrasound image and automatically determine where the arterial wall is located. Once the ultrasound image is provided as an input, the algorithm independently processes data and finds the detected vessel boundary as well as any related measurements. Because arteries typically have smooth and consistent walls, additional smoothing techniques can be applied to ensure the detected wall fits a realistic shape. [18] These approaches are some of the earliest automated detection methods and are still used, although they can be sensitive to speckle noise and poor image quality.

Another approach uses statistical analyses of arterial shapes to guide the detection process. These methods assume that arterial walls follow predictable patterns, such as smooth, continuous curves surrounding the lumen in a 2D picture. [19] The algorithm begins by estimating the location of the vessel and then applies a model, usually mathematical models such as active contour, that gradually adjusts until it aligns with the image features that represent the arterial wall. Shape constraints are used so that the detected boundaries remain smooth and realistic, which helps reduce errors caused by speckle noise, artifacts, or weak edges in the B-mode ultrasound image. [19] By combining a 2d ultrasound image with prior examples of vessel structure, automatic methods can improve detection reliability when compared to manual segmentation.

The most modern approach to automated arterial wall detection uses artificial intelligence (AI). Deep learning models, such as convolutional neural networks (CNNs) are used for this method. These systems are trained using large datasets of medical images where clinicians have already mapped and labeled arterial walls. During training, the program learns to recognize the patterns that distinguish the lumens and vessel walls from surrounding tissues. Once trained, the model can analyze ultrasound images in B-mode and automatically map out arterial walls, producing an anatomical map that outlines the artery. Specialized programs like U-Net are commonly used because they are specially designed for medical image segmentation and can manage both large scale anatomical images and fine boundary details. [20] Deep learning methods have become increasingly popular because they can handle complex images and produce accurate results compared to a clinician using manual segmentation. These methods are also highly popular and beneficial as they are not as time-consuming as manual segmentation and reduce operator bias.

CONCLUSION

Automated arterial wall detection is important as it reduces the limitations brought in by the manual analysis of arterial systems. This new type of detection improves the speed, consistency, and accuracy of vascular measurements. In traditional ultrasound detection, clinicians often need to manually outline the arterial walls in images, which introduces operator bias and variability, since even trained clinicians may trace the boundaries differently. Automated systems apply the same rules every time, meaning results are consistent and can be used in a wide-spread manner. They also significantly improve the efficiency of detection, as automated methods allow large numbers of ultrasound images or datasets to be processed quickly, making it possible to perform large scale studies and real time measurements. This is particularly important for measuring PWV in a patient, because precise tracking of arterial wall motion in a fast fashion is required.

To continue improving automated detection, researchers are focusing on several areas. One key area is developing algorithms that work with higher-quality data sources, such as RF ultrasound signals, which provide more information than traditional 2D ultrasound images. Another limitation is improving sensitivity to noise and artifacts, since ultrasound images often contain speckles and variations in quality depending on the probe used and its placement. Advances in machine learning and deep learning are also helping systems learn more complex patterns from larger data sets in order to detect vessel boundaries more accurately across different patients and images. By combining improved signal processing, more advanced algorithms, and better training data, future automated systems may achieve highly reliable real-time arterial wall detection that reduces operator dependence and makes arterial wall detection more efficient.

References

1. National Center for Health Statistics. Multiple Cause of Death 2018–2023 on CDC WONDER Database. Accessed February 1, 2025. https://wonder.cdc.gov/mcd.html

2. Palaniappan LP, , Allen NB, Almarzooq ZI, et al. 2026 Heart Disease and Stroke Statistics: A Report of US and Global Data From the American Heart Association. Circulation. 2026 Jan 21 Online ahead of print. 2026 Heart Disease and Stroke Statistics: A Report of US and Global Data From the American Heart Association
3. Physiopedia. 2024. Cardiovascular System. Physiopedia. https://www.physio-pedia.com/Cardiovascular_System.
4. Boundless. Arteries. Universitypressbookspub. https://university.pressbooks.pub/test456/chapter/arteries/.
5. Biga LM, Bronson S, Dawson S, Harwell A, Hopkins R, Kaufmann J, LeMaster M, Matern P, Morrison-Graham K, Oja K, et al. 2025 Sep. 19.3 Cardiac Cycle. Anatomy & Physiology 2e. https://open.oregonstate.education/anatomy2e/chapter/cardiac-cycle/.
6. Yartsev A. 2023 Dec 18. Normal arterial line waveforms. Deranged Physiology. https://derangedphysiology.com/main/cicm-primary-exam/cardiovascular-system/Chapter-760/normal-arterial-line-waveforms.
7. Paxton S, Peckham M, Knibbs A. 2003. The Leeds Histology Guide. wwwhistologyleedsacuk. https://www.histology.leeds.ac.uk/circulatory/arteries.php.
8. Bailey R. 2019 May 9. What Is an Artery? ThoughtCo. https://www.thoughtco.com/artery-anatomy-373235.
9. What Are Carotid Arteries? ThoughtCo. https://www.thoughtco.com/carotid-arteries-anatomy-373241.
10. Difference between linear and nonlinear elastic material. 2019 Mar 1. Enterfea. https://enterfea.com/difference-between-linear-and-nonlinear-elastic-material/.
11. Mozafari H, Zhou C, Gu L. 2019. Mechanical contribution of vascular smooth muscle cells in the tunica media of artery. Nanotechnology Reviews. 8(1):50–60. doi:https://doi.org/10.1515/ntrev-2019-0005.
12. Elastic Properties of Blood Vessels - Arteries and Veins - The Cardiovascular System - Medical Physiology, 3rd Edition. Doctorlibinfo. https://doctorlib.info/physiology/medical/104.html.
13. Johns Hopkins Medicine. 2024. Carotid Artery Disease. wwwhopkinsmedicineorg. https://www.hopkinsmedicine.org/health/conditions-and-diseases/carotid-artery-disease.
14. Beevers G, Lip GYH, O'Brien E. 2021. The pathophysiology of hypertension. BMJ. 322(7291):912–916. doi:https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.322.7291.912.
15. Clinical value of measuring pulse wave velocity (PWV). 2026 Feb 20. MESI Medical. https://mesimedical.com/insights/what-is-pulse-wave-velocity-and-why-is-it-important-in-clinical-practice.
16. Segers P, Rietzschel ER, Chirinos JA. 2019. Brief Review on How to Measure Arterial Stiffness in Humans. Arteriosclerosis, Thrombosis, and Vascular Biology. 40(5). doi:https://doi.org/10.1161/atvbaha.119.313132.
17. Xu L, Wu P, Xia P, Geng F, Lu S, Wang P, Chen X, Li Z, Du L, Liu S, et al. 2023. Online continuous measurement of arterial pulse pressure and pressure waveform using ultrasound. Measurement. 220:113379–113379. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.measurement.2023.113379.

18. Sahani AK, Joseph J, Sivaprakasam M. 2014. Evaluation of the algorithm for automatic identification of the common carotid artery in ARTSENS. Physiological Measurement. 35(7):1299–1317. doi:https://doi.org/10.1088/0967-3334/35/7/1299.
19. Raj KV, Nabeel PM, Sivaprakasam M, Joseph J. 2022. Time-warping for robust automated arterial wall-recognition and tracking from single-scan-line ultrasound signals. Ultrasonics. 126:106828. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ultras.2022.106828.
20. Saito T, Mori S, Arakawa M, Ohba S, Kobayashi K, Kanai H. 2020. Estimation of viscoelasticity of radial artery via simultaneous measurement of changes in pressure and diameter using a single ultrasound probe. Japanese Journal of Applied Physics. 59(SK):SKKE04. doi:https://doi.org/10.35848/1347-4065/ab7f1c.