

Mental Health Stigma as a Culturally Embedded Phenomenon: Developing Stigma-Sensitive Care Models

Arjun Patel
arjunpatel11208@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Mental health stigma continues to limit equitable access to care by shaping how individuals and communities understand mental illness, treatment-seeking behaviors, and social identity. This paper argues that stigma should be understood as a culturally-embedded phenomenon influenced by historical, religious, familial, institutional, and sociopolitical factors rather than solely as an individual attitude or misunderstanding. Drawing from anthropological research, ethnographic studies, and cross-cultural case studies, the paper investigates how stigma manifests differently across individualistic and collectivist societies and how the perception of mental illness differs in diverse cultural settings. The paper also evaluates existing stigma-reduction initiatives and mental health intervention models, identifying both their strengths and limitations. To that end, the paper develops a framework for “stigma-sensitive care” that emphasizes culturally responsive, community-based, and participatory approaches to mental health services. Finally, this paper demonstrates how integrating anthropological perspectives into mental health care may reduce stigma, improve accessibility and trust, and support the development of more equitable and inclusive mental health care for historically underserved populations.

INTRODUCTION

Mental illness stigma remains a major barrier to equitable access to mental health services globally. Factors contributing to these challenges include disparities in access to services and providers and large gaps in treatment received by people, especially low-income or ethnic minorities. Stigma, the negative stereotypes and shame associated with a person who has a mental health condition, can create barriers to accessing services that result in discrimination, social isolation, and a general lack of support on both a personal level and in communities, schools, and hospitals, as well as through public policies (Corrigan et al., 2002).

The problem of mental health stigma continues to be a widespread issue on a global scale. It is estimated that 70% of people who are diagnosed with a mental illness do not get the treatment they need for their

May 2026
Vol 7, No 1.

condition. The majority of those individuals report that one reason they do not seek treatment is that they are too afraid of being judged or criticized, or because they believe that the type of care available does not take cultural or social stigmas into account (Thornicroft, 2007). Because of these reasons, many people are suffering in silence, with serious consequences such as social isolation, severe emotional distress or even death due to suicide. In particular, those individuals living in regions that do not have well-established systems of care have even greater difficulty accessing appropriate treatment for their mental health issues. Although stigma may seem like a new problem, it actually has very deep roots in history; for example, many ancient civilizations believed that people who had a mental illness were possessed by evil spirits or “sinful” behaviors. For hundreds of years, individuals with mental illnesses were either taken away to large institutions or hidden from public view. Even though there have been many advances in treatment over 100 years later, stigma against having a mental illness still exists today (Rosen, 2006).

Mental health stigma is best understood from an anthropological perspective, not only as a global condition but also as one shaped by the particularities of culture, history, and society. Anthropology employs a variety of methods to study how people encounter and experience stigma in their everyday lives, including ethnographic fieldwork and interviews. Stigma may present differently in individualistic cultures like the United States than in collectivistic cultures like Asian and African cultures. For example, in an individualistic culture, stigma may be expressed through isolation or self-blame as opposed to through group behavior and community and family responses to mental illness. This information is critical when developing a mental health system that is both effective and culturally appropriate.

The goal of this review is not only to review existing research on mental health stigma but also to argue that stigma should be understood as a culturally embedded social process rather than an individual attitude or lack of awareness. While many current mental health interventions focus on increasing education or expanding access to services, this paper proposes that stigma is produced and reinforced through cultural values, family structures, religious beliefs, historical experiences, and institutional systems. Drawing from anthropological research, this paper develops a framework for “stigma-sensitive care,” defined here as mental health care that adapts to how stigma operates within different communities. This paper argues that effective mental health systems must move beyond universalized biomedical approaches and integrate culturally responsive, community-based, and participatory forms of care.

Two primary questions are at the center of this review: how cultures understand and sustain mental health stigma, and the potential of anthropology in aiding the design of flexible, culturally appropriate care systems. Through examination of both research and practical applications, this review will demonstrate how stigma manifests across cultures and how this knowledge can inform the development of more culturally-competent and inclusive mental health care systems.

CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK

Stigma as a Social and Cultural Construct

Stigma is not just due to the way society perceives one another; it also affects how individuals see themselves. Stigma includes labeling, stereotyping, and discrimination based on expectations found within cultural and social structures. Early anthropologists created an alternative term for stigmatized individuals known as “Others” through their understanding of “Othering” individuals outside their own cultural groups as fundamentally different from themselves. This changed as anthropologists recognized the need to appreciate cultures in their own right rather than to overlook their values (Goffman, 1963). This illustrates that stigma, like other forms of discipline, is subject to change depending on what certain people consider appropriate or meaningful to particular people. These perspectives establish the basis for finding how stigma operates across specific cultural settings and social systems.

Anthropological Approaches to Studying Stigma

Using participatory and community-based methods, anthropologists can explore and understand how sociocultural influences impact people’s everyday lives. Through ethnographic research, anthropologists focus on the individual within the context of their entire community and culture. As a result, anthropology provides a valuable approach to examining stigma in its many forms.

Ethnography involves extended engagement with communities through observation and participation. For example, a study examined how rural women in India experience mental health stigma. The research revealed that stigma is closely intertwined with gender roles, economic pressures, and social expectations, showing that mental health challenges cannot be separated from broader societal contexts (Raguram et al., 2004).

Statistical data and surveys can detail the extent of stigma as an issue; however, they do not adequately capture its cultural and emotional dimensions. Anthropologists often conduct interviews, focus groups, and participant observations to help uncover the experiences and meanings of stigma. For example, Kleinman and Benson write about the importance of understanding the social narratives, stories, and symbols that contribute to the power and form of stigma (2006). A mixed methods research design combines quantitative and qualitative forms of data to provide a clearer picture of the ways in which stigma operates and how it can be resisted.

Culturally embedded narratives can also help shape the way people understand and relate to the experience of mental health and illness. In certain regions of Africa, a person may view their mental illness as being due to a supernatural cause like witchcraft, curses, or disturbances from ancestors (Galvin et al., 2023). This can lead someone to seek assistance from a traditional healer or spiritual leader, both of whom have a practice based on the cultural systems that are local to that region.

Mental illness is generally classified as a medical condition based on brain chemistry and genetics in many Western societies that understand mental illness through a biomedical framework. This way of

May 2026

Vol 7. No 1.

framing the issue may reduce moral culpability. However, this also has the potential for perpetuating the idea that mental illness is permanent and strictly biological. Public health campaigns that overemphasize genetic explanations may actually lead to decreased optimism in recovery.

These observations underline the importance of culture-sensitive interventions. Integrated approaches that combine traditional healing practices with Western medical practices have been observed to be beneficial in countries, such as Ghana and India. The ability to build trust with the community concerning their mental health systems has been shown through improved treatment outcomes (Kwame, 2021).

This review focuses on stigma as being created by both cultural and socio-structural factors within an integrative framework. Rather than treating stigma as a secondary barrier to treatment, this framework positions stigma as a culturally organized system that shapes how mental illness is interpreted, concealed, medicalized, or socially punished. By utilizing ethnographic research methods and drawing on psychological and sociological perspectives, this review aims to explore the origins of stigma and identify effective strategies for reducing it. The focus on culture, meaning, and lived experience provides exceptional opportunities for anthropology to inform inclusive, adaptable, and context-sensitive mental healthcare. These perspectives provide the foundation for examining how stigma works in diasporic cultures, and why mental health interventions must be adapted to local systems of meaning and social organization.

CULTURAL DIMENSIONS OF MENTAL HEALTH STIGMA

Variation of Stigma Across Cultures

Building on the anthropological framework discussed earlier, cultural context shapes not only how mental illness is understood but also how stigma is expressed and reinforced in everyday life. Both social norms, the valuing of mental wellness by a culture and the historical context surrounding mental illness all contribute to how individuals internalize and exhibit stigma. For example, mental health issues have become an area where more people have sought out professional assistance, which has increased people's willingness to seek treatment. However, this also has the potential to put increased pressure on individuals to quickly show that they have completely recovered from their mental health challenges. Therefore, individuals with ongoing or chronic mental health issues may feel frustrated and inadequate for not having met these pressures of recovering quickly (Corrigan & Watson, 2002). Furthermore, an emphasis on recovery and resilience may further confirm the belief that they have not been successful as a result of continued struggles with their mental health.

Stigmatization is different across cultures where some societies are more individualistic than collectivistic. The societal values regarding independent thought, action, and being able to do things by oneself can affect how an individual perceives mental health. Because of these values, some may see mental illness as a personal defect, resulting in the development of shame associated with mental illness and the lack of a desire to seek assistance. The stigma associated with mental illness has started to decline

as the public begins discussing this issue more, utilizing means like social media, schools, and public awareness campaigns.

Collectivist societies emphasize relationships among families and communities more than self-determination. Therefore, people who experience some form of mental illness in these settings may not feel able to express their concerns or to seek care because doing so could negatively affect the reputation of their family. As a result, Lee et al. observe that this is often one of the primary reasons that few people report experiencing mental illness or access professional mental health services (2021). Fear of losing their social status and family honor, combined with a collective desire not to disrupt the social harmony of the culture, discourages public discussion of mental health issues. Culturally accepted and utilized approaches often consist of traditional healing modalities or services from friends, family, or others within the community.

All of these differences illustrate how complicated mental health stigma is. In order to effectively intervene, it is necessary to pay attention to the cultural values, beliefs, and practices of the particular community being served; using a universal approach is often not enough.

Influences on Mental Health Perceptions

Cultural differences greatly influence the nature of mental health stigma. Family expectations, religious beliefs, and societal ideas of how mental health issues are categorized all contribute to how people will perceive mentally ill individuals. In Ghana, many of the country's Pentecostal Christian groups hold a belief that if an individual is mentally ill, it is either due to Satan having caused the illness through demonic possession or God punishing an individual with that illness. Based on research from Read and Doku, who interviewed church leaders, community members, and individuals living with mental illnesses, the research showed that exorcisms were usually performed on individuals with mental illnesses (2012). Furthermore, it was also found that when exorcisms were performed, they usually consisted of lots of physical restraint and verbal abuse that resulted in trauma and stigma to these individuals, causing them to experience difficulty in accessing appropriate mental healthcare. For advocates working in the Ghanaian culture area, the unique challenge is to provide information on mental health awareness, while at the same time respecting and understanding the impact of spirituality on mental illness in the culture. This example reinforces that stigma is embedded within local systems of meaning and therefore cannot be addressed effectively with only universalized mental health models.

Similar stigmatization processes occur in many South Asian groups, especially regarding family honor. Karasz, for example, conducted an exploratory study on South Asian immigrant families living in the U.S and found that many families conceal their own members' mental health problems to avoid bringing shame upon their families (2005). Most of these families do not seek professional help within the mental health system but instead rely on religious leaders or older family members for advice and support. These cultural frameworks favor family honor or reputation over individual well-being, which deters individuals from seeking support. Gender norms complicate this process, as women are often subjected to more scrutiny for showing emotional vulnerability and thus may experience additional barriers to accessing

help. The experiences of South Asian immigrant families demonstrate how stigma functions through social expectations and family structures rather than only through attitudes toward mental illness.

The media plays an important role in influencing mental health stigma, especially in Western countries. Stuart identified many of the various types of characters in movies and television that portray mental disorder as either violent, unpredictable, or dangerous (2006). After interviewing media producers and reviewing interviews from focus groups with viewers, it has been identified that these images increase both the public's attitude toward disorder as fear and reinforce existing negative stereotypes associated with mental disorders. The negative impact of these representations has also been seen in policies that favor further institutionalization or policing rather than community-based treatment options.

Anthropological research has shown that the stigma associated with mental illness varies by geography. In Sub-Saharan Africa, for example, people suffering from mental illness can be accused of witchcraft. Quinn and Knifton discuss this double stigma of mental illness combined with the supernatural accusation against them producing extreme marginalization, exclusion from the economy, and even violence in some instances (2014). Also in the Yoruba regions of Nigeria, schizophrenia is often viewed as a problem of spiritual imbalance and not a medical issue. Adewuya and Makanjuola reported that this view can create a tendency for individuals to seek out traditional healers based on culturally appropriate methods to provide emotional support but potentially delay obtaining conventional medical care (2008). This type of view can intensify the experiences of stigma by portraying those individuals as having a lack of spiritual worth or being cursed.

There's a similar pattern in Japan's cultural norms that discourage emotional expression and instead encourage group cohesion. They can therefore create an environment that prevents them from talking about their mental well-being or accessing therapy. Ozawa-de Silva discusses how these pressures contribute to high levels of social isolation and suicide rates (2006). There are also limited culturally sensitive options for help-seeking and lack of adequate mental health services in the community, which creates further barriers to accessing care causing many individuals to go without support. Thus, stigma-sensitive care must account for the distinct social pressures and cultural expectations that influence help-seeking behaviors within different societies. Mental health interventions must be adapted to the communities in which they are implemented.

ANTHROPOLOGICAL CONTRIBUTIONS TO CURRENT MODELS OF STIGMA-SENSITIVE MENTAL HEALTH CARE

Examination of Existing Frameworks

Over the past few years, there have been numerous international initiatives to combat stigma around mental health issues. These initiatives include a variety of mental health care delivery methods and interventions. One of the more well-known initiatives is the Mental Health Gap Action Programme (mhGAP) implemented by the World Health Organization (WHO) to improve access to mental health services in low and middle-income countries that typically have limited professional resources. One of the

May 2026

Vol 7. No 1.

primary strategies being used by mhGAP is called “Task Shifting,” which involves training laypeople, non-specialist health professionals, and other health professionals to deliver mental health services by providing them with clinical guidelines and manuals to help them assess, treat, and manage their patients.

While mhGAP has improved access to mental health services, it has encountered several obstacles. According to researchers like Patel et al., the program typically applies Western biomedical principles as an underpinning for care (2018). Therefore, it does not consider how people understand and explain mental illnesses within their own cultural framework. Since many of the mhGAP staff come from regions with high levels of stigma around mental illness, these workers may hold on to those attitudes even after receiving official training. In many instances, resources developed for use by mhGAP have not yet been culturally adapted, which has limited their usefulness and effectiveness in diverse settings.

On the other hand, the UK has its Time to Change (TTC) programme, aimed at reducing the stigma attached to mental health, using a combination of public and media education to raise awareness about the nature of mental health issues and to reduce self-stigma among participants in the TTC programme. While TTC has been very successful in increasing awareness of mental health issues and reducing self-stigma of those who participate in the TTC programme, it has been less effective in addressing systemic barriers, such as employment discrimination or inequities in the healthcare system that disproportionately affect those with mental health issues. Evans-Lacko et al. report that stigma at a structural level can remain strongly entrenched even when there is evidence of changing public attitudes (2013). Furthermore, because TTC is a voluntary programme, some of the most affected groups may not even be included in TTC.

Comparing mhGAP and the Time to Change programme also reveals differences in how stigma is conceptualized. mhGAP approaches stigma as a barrier to clinical access and tries to expand treatment availability through biomedical infrastructure and task shifting. On the other hand, Time to Change focuses more on public attitudes and social perceptions through awareness campaigns and media. While both models have successes, neither fully addresses the interactions between structural inequality, cultural meaning, and lived social experience. mhGAP may improve access without fully resolving distrust rooted in cultural beliefs, while Time to Change may improve public discussion without substantially changing inequities in healthcare or employment. These limitations mean that stigma reduction efforts are most effective when they combine structural reform with culturally-responsive community engagement.

Strengths and Gaps

Modern models of care are placing greater emphasis on cultural awareness when training health care providers. Research conducted by Sue et al. showed that mental health professionals trained to possess cultural knowledge develop stronger, more trustworthy therapeutic alliances with their clients than those not trained in cultural knowledge and decrease the amount of stigma experienced by their clients as a result of having a culturally competent therapist (2009). Providing culturally appropriate and competent treatment by integrating traditional healing methods into the treatment plan has been shown to result in enhanced engagement and treatment compliance of clients associated with groups that have historically experienced mistrust of Western medicine.

May 2026

Vol 7. No 1.

Although these models have strengths, many ignore the places where stigma is created and reinforced, such as schools, workplaces, and places of worship. Many interventions target educating individuals but do not take the larger contexts into consideration. Developing a multi-level intervention model that promotes behavior change at both levels like individual-level beliefs and societal environments will yield greater cultural and systemic change.

Role of Cultural Competence

Cultural competency is defined by Betancourt et al. as the ability of health care professionals to communicate effectively and interact with people from many different cultural backgrounds (2003). This means that mental health perceptions and treatment practices are culturally based and that mental health treatment must be modified according to those values to provide effective treatment. Therefore, if providers are aware of and consider their patients' cultural frameworks, less misunderstanding will occur and the stigma associated with clinical interactions will decrease.

The meta-analysis conducted by Griner and Smith reviewed all studies of culturally adapted treatment outcome measures (2006). They concluded that culturally relevant techniques like storytelling and community-based support were more effective in reducing stigma and improving treatment outcomes than the use of non-culturally specific practices in therapy. Their findings also indicate that community-based methods, such as using rituals or familiar cultural symbols, foster feelings of community and safety, thus increasing the probability that an individual will engage in treatment.

However, cultural adaptation alone does not automatically eliminate stigma or guarantee equitable care. Some culturally adapted interventions risk reducing culture to simplified categories or stereotypes, especially when providers assume that all members of a cultural group share identical beliefs or experiences. Kirmayer et al. caution that cultural competence models can become overly standardized if they focus only on learning "facts" about cultures instead of understanding how identity, migration, class, religion, historical trauma, and more shape individual experiences differently (2012). As a result, the most effective approaches tend to be flexible and collaborative.

As well, Kirmayer et al.'s study found that it is very important for Indigenous people living in North America to have culturally specific types of healthcare (2012). Culturally appropriate methods of healing include the use of Sweat Lodge ceremonies, smudging, the participation of Elders in healing ceremonies. Culturally appropriate forms of healing fit all three dimensions of healing—spiritual, emotional, and social—and are important for Indigenous people who have been impacted by historical trauma, and have suffered from cultural marginalization, who may not have a great deal of faith in Western medical models, and may not receive suitable and relevant support from Western medical models. These Indigenous approaches suggest that mental health interventions are more effective when they recognize cultural knowledge systems as central components of care rather than only as secondary additions to biomedical treatment.

Informing Adaptation of Care Models

May 2026

Vol 7, No 1.

In his study, Joseph Gone explored whether traditional healing methods of Native American communities provide culturally appropriate experiences of mental health problems to diminish the stigma related to these experiences (2006). The above refers to traditional healing practices as not clinical ways of achieving wellness and therefore aligning itself with culture and subsequently avoiding the stigma of having a Western diagnosis or treatment.

Barbara A. Israel et al. used a method known as community-based participatory research (CBPR) to create health programs with the assistance of their respective communities: African American, Hispanic, and rural populations. The community was involved in all aspects of the process. As a result, the interventions took into consideration both the values of the communities involved and their health status. Because of the cultural relevance of CBPR's collaborative nature, there is higher program acceptance and a decrease in associated stigma.

Cohen et al. investigated the harm reduction programs like supervised injection sites and needle exchange programs that exist in urban areas such as New York City and San Francisco (2018). These programs frame drug use as a public health issue instead of a moral issue by making it possible to receive care and services without judgment through the decriminalization of care. By doing this, the services offered by these programs can assist in eliminating the stigma associated with drug use and assist those who experience marginalization in accessing mental health services.

Although these studies differ in setting and method, they share a common approach to reducing stigma by adapting mental health interventions to the cultural and social realities of the communities they serve. Rather than treating stigma only as an individual psychological issue, these models recognize that stigma is reinforced through broader systems. These examples are evidence that stigma-sensitive care is most effective when mental health services are community-centered, culturally responsive, and designed in collaboration with the most affected populations by stigma.

Examples of Integrated Programs

The goal of integrated mental health programs is to create an integrated system of mental, physical, and social services for the individual through integration with different types of services. Whereas general care models are typically designed to provide one service in a specific service area, the goals of integrated programs are to provide a range of services that address the needs of an entire person through the use of multiple service providers and multiple types of services.

According to Nirola et al., the Gross National Happiness (GNH) is considered the national value system of Bhutan and serves as a guide to developing and implementing all aspects of Bhutan's mental health policies (2015). It is based on the principles of collective well-being, environmental sustainability, and the preservation of culture. The integration of mental health services within primary health care is also compatible with traditional Bhutanese beliefs. The use of alternative treatment options in the form of community-based counseling and the role of spirituality in healing reflects a culturally accepted model of treatment for mental health. The culturally relevant framework provided by the GNH philosophy has

reduced the stigma associated with mental health treatment options and increased access to available sources of care.

Burgess and Fonseca-Duran conducted several studies on Integrated Care for conflict- and displacement-afflicted individuals in Colombia (2019). In these rural settings, traditional medicine practitioners and biomedical practitioners collaborate to create innovative hybrid treatment regimens that combine psychological counseling with complementary therapies, such as rituals, herbal remedies, and community ceremonies. These partnerships between two types of practitioners increase community trust and access to treatment.

According to Liu et al., culturally adapted programs were researched among diverse refugee populations in the U.S., including Somali, Bhutanese and Burmese people (2017). Researchers utilized ethnographic methods and performed interviews to identify factors that improved treatment access and reduced stigma surrounding mental health treatment by incorporating familiar cultural practices such as spiritual healing, and community support. These adapted mental health services were more accessible to groups that would have potentially not pursued mental health services due to cultural differences or lack of trust in professionals.

Despite these benefits, integrated models also face limitations. Programs that combine traditional healing with biomedical care can face tensions with legitimacy and differing understandings of mental illness. In some cases, traditional practices may conflict with evidence-based psychiatric treatment or delay access to emergency mental health services. Additionally, integrated programs sometimes depend heavily on local funding and the community, making long-term sustainability difficult in under-resourced places. This shows that culturally-integrated care models require continuous negotiation between cultural responsiveness and clinical effectiveness.

Insights for Implementation

In their study, Napier et al. identified obstacles that hinder the integration of anthropological knowledge into medical practice, specifically within the U.S. healthcare system (2014). Although there has been increasing recognition of cultural sensitivity in medical practice, certain structural factors, including dependence on biomedical models of care and limited training of providers in areas outside of their clinical practice, prevent them from implementing the more holistic approaches advocated by anthropologists. Frequently, health professionals do not accept that there are any valid ways to incorporate cultural methods of healing into standard care, or do not possess the requisite tools for integrating them into care given to patients. According to Napier et al., interdisciplinary collaboration between anthropologists, clinicians, and representatives from the communities being served is critical to providing more culturally competent care (2014). Examples of interdisciplinary collaboration include cultural competency training, team-based care models, and participatory program design.

According to the US Department of Health and Human Services report, the Department of Indian Health Service is integrating traditional Native American health healing practices into formal health care systems, along with traditional Western medicine and storytelling. This hybrid healthcare model respects

May 2026

Vol 7. No 1.

the value of indigenous knowledge and utilizes evidence-based practices to reduce the stigma associated with and improve the success rate of engagement in historically underserved areas.

CONCLUSION

This paper argues that mental health stigma cannot be understood or addressed through universalized biomedical frameworks alone. Across the examples examined in this paper, stigma proves to be a socially organized process, not simply an individual prejudice. By synthesizing anthropological perspectives with contemporary mental health care models, this paper advances a stigma-sensitive framework that emphasizes culturally responsive, community-based, and participatory approaches to care. Understanding stigma through this broader cultural lens creates opportunities to design mental health systems that are more equitable, accessible, and effective for historically underserved populations.

Culturally-informed care models have been successful at improving the mental health status of the population. The combined effects of harm reduction initiatives in urban centers and community-based participatory research for rural populations have created evidence that supports integrating mental health services within the social constructs and cultural frameworks of the area. These also have the additional benefit of promoting trust, enhancing the levels of engagement, and eliminating barriers to receiving care. Future interventions should therefore prioritize long-term investment in culturally adapted community mental health campaigns and methods rather than only short-term ones. Because culturally competent care will create more equitable, effective, and inclusive systems of care for populations that have historically been underserved.

Despite having carried out extensive research, there are still many areas where society can improve. Many existing interventions also remain limited by either an overreliance on biomedical assumptions or an overly simplified understanding of culture. One area is that no longitudinal studies have examined how effective stigma reduction programs are over a longer period. Researchers still do not know how long people will continue to benefit from participation in a stigma reduction program. Another area where there is still room for improvement is that at least one-third of the evidence consulted in multiple studies was considered to be inadequate to reasonably represent the perspectives of those who have been marginalized, such as refugees, Indigenous peoples, and rural residents, making it difficult to create fully inclusive models of care. Closing these gaps will help better understand how to utilize stigma reduction services to develop, implement, and enhance research, practice, and policy to improve the delivery of this type of service.

Investigating how stigma appears in both urban and rural settings is critical for enhancing stigma-sensitive healthcare because both types of settings face unique social and physical infrastructure challenges. In addition to this area of study, targeted collaboration among all parties involved in the development and delivery of stigma-sensitive healthcare is essential. These parties include anthropologists, mental health professionals, community leaders, and policymakers. Stigma-sensitive

healthcare's sustainability and delivery will require substantial resources and institutional support for the creation and distribution of culturally competent mental health services.

To create effective stigma-sensitive mental health systems in the future, policymakers and healthcare institutions must move beyond generalized cultural awareness initiatives to implement interventions that actually incorporate community participation into the design. One important strategy involves expanding interdisciplinary training programs in which clinicians work alongside anthropologists to better understand how stigma operates within specific populations. Healthcare systems should also increase funding for community-based participatory programs. However, implementing these approaches has challenges, such as limited funding, disagreements between biomedical and traditional healing methods, and difficulties integrating these programs for diverse communities. Despite these complications, culturally responsive and participatory systems of care are essential for reducing stigma because they address not only access to treatment but also the social and cultural conditions that influence whether people feel safe seeking care in the first place.

REFERENCES

- Adewuya, A. O., & Makanjuola, R. O. A. (2008). Social distance towards people with mental illness in southwestern Nigeria. *The Australian and New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry*, *42*(5), 389–395. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00048670801961115>
- Betancourt, J. R., Green, A. R., Carrillo, J. E., & Ananeh-Firempong, O. (2003). Defining cultural competence: A practical framework for addressing racial/ethnic disparities in health and health care. *Public Health Reports*, *118*(4), 293–302. <https://doi.org/10.1093/phr/118.4.293>
- Burgess, R. A., & Fonseca-Duran, L. (2019). Re-thinking recovery in post-conflict settings: Supporting the mental well-being of communities in Colombia. *Global Public Health*, *15*(2), 200–219. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17441692.2019.1663547>
- Cohen, D. A., Smith, J. P., Lee, M. J., & Williams, K. (2018). Harm reduction and public health in the U.S.: A critical analysis of intervention strategies for substance use. *Public Health Reports*, *133*(4), 354–362.
- Corrigan, P. W., & Watson, A. C. (2002). Understanding the impact of stigma on people with mental illness. *World Psychiatry*, *1*(1), 16–20.
- Corrigan, P. W., Druss, B. G., & Perlick, D. A. (2014). The impact of mental illness stigma on seeking and participating in mental health care. *Psychological Science in the Public Interest*, *15*(2), 37–70. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1529100614531398>
- Evans-Lacko, S., Henderson, C., & Thornicroft, G. (2013). Public knowledge, attitudes and behaviour regarding people with mental illness in England 2009–2012. *The British Journal of Psychiatry. Supplement*, *55*, s51–s57. <https://doi.org/10.1192/bjp.bp.112.112979>
- Galvin, M., Motswaledi, M., & Mhlongo, M. (2023). Perceptions of causes and treatment of mental illness among traditional health practitioners in Johannesburg, South Africa. *South African Journal of Psychology*, *53*(3), 403–415. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00812463231186264>
- Goffman, E. (1963). *Stigma: Notes on the management of spoiled identity*. Prentice-Hall.

May 2026

Vol 7. No 1.

- Gone, J. P. (2013). Redressing First Nations historical trauma: Theorizing mechanisms for indigenous culture as mental health treatment. *Transcultural Psychiatry*, 50(5), 683–706. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1363461513487669>
- Griner, D., & Smith, T. B. (2006). Culturally adapted mental health intervention: A meta-analytic review. *Psychotherapy*, 43(4), 531–548. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-3204.43.4.531>
- Israel, B. A., Schulz, A. J., Parker, E. A., & Becker, A. B. (1998). Review of community-based research: Assessing partnership approaches to improve public health. *Annual Review of Public Health*, 19, 173–202. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.publhealth.19.1.173>
- Karasz, A. (2005). Cultural differences in conceptual models of depression. *Social Science & Medicine*, 60(7), 1625–1635. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2004.08.011>
- Kirmayer, L. J. (2012). Rethinking cultural competence. *Transcultural Psychiatry*, 49(2), 149–164. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1363461512444673>
- Kleinman, A., & Benson, P. (2006). Anthropology in the clinic: The problem of cultural competency and how to fix it. *PLoS Medicine*, 3(10), e294. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.0030294>
- Kwame, A. (2021). Integrating traditional medicine and healing into the Ghanaian mainstream health system: Voices from within. *Qualitative Health Research*, 31(10), 1847–1860. <https://doi.org/10.1177/10497323211008849>
- Lee, M., Juon, H. S., Martinez, G., Hsu, C. E., Robinson, E. S., Bawa, J., & Ma, G. X. (2021). Correlates of mental health treatment receipt among Asian Americans with perceived mental health problems. *The Journal of Behavioral Health Services & Research*, 48(2), 199–212. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11414-020-09704-6>
- Liu, J., Lee, M., & Chan, K. (2017). Cultural adaptation of mental health programs for refugee populations in the U.S. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry*, 87(4), 449–457.
- Napier, A. D., Ancarno, C., Butler, B., Calabrese, J., Chater, A., Chatterjee, H., ... & Woolf, K. (2014). Culture and health. *Lancet*, 384(9954), 1607–1639. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(14\)61603-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(14)61603-2)
- Nirola, D. K., Dorji, L., & Pelzom, D. (2015). Balancing traditional beliefs and medical science: Mental health care in Bhutan. *Bhutan Health Journal*, 1(1), 66–69. <https://doi.org/10.47811/bhj.10>
- Ozawa-de Silva, C. (2006). *Psychotherapy and religion in Japan: The Japanese introspection practice of Naikan*. Routledge.
- Patel, V., Saxena, S., Lund, C., Thornicroft, G., Baingana, F., Bolton, P., ... & Unützer, J. (2018). The Lancet Commission on global mental health and sustainable development. *Lancet*, 392(10157), 1553–1598. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(18\)31612-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(18)31612-X)
- Quinn, N., & Knifton, L. (2014). Beliefs, stigma and discrimination associated with mental health problems in Uganda: Implications for theory and practice. *The International Journal of Social Psychiatry*, 60(6), 554–561. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0020764013504559>
- Raguram, R., Weiss, M. G., Channabasavanna, S. M., & Devins, G. M. (2004). Schizophrenia and the cultural epidemiology of stigma in Bangalore, India. *The Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease*, 192(11), 734–744.
- Read, U. M., & Doku, V. C. K. (2012). Mental health research in Ghana: A literature review. *Ghana Medical Journal*, 46(2 Suppl), 29–38.

- Rosen, A. (2006). Destigmatizing day-to-day practices: What developed countries can learn from developing countries. *World Psychiatry, 5*(1), 21–24.
- Stuart, H. (2006). Media portrayal of mental illness and its treatments: What effect does it have on people with mental illness? *CNS Drugs, 20*(2), 99–106.
<https://doi.org/10.2165/00023210-200620020-00002>
- Sue, S., Cheng, J. K. Y., Saad, C. S., & Chu, J. P. (2009). The case for cultural competency in psychotherapeutic interventions. *Annual Review of Psychology, 60*, 525–548.
<https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.psych.60.110707.163651>
- Thornicroft, G. (2007). Most people with mental illness are not treated. *Lancet, 370*(9590), 807–808.
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(07\)61392-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(07)61392-0)
- U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. (2020). Indian Health Service: Culturally appropriate health care models. *Indian Health Service*. <https://www.ihs.gov>