OXFORD JOURNAL
OF STUDENT
SCHOLARSHIP

How Human Technology Shapes the Fate of Biodiversity: Case of Javan Rhino

Matthew Yoon
mattjisangyoon@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

This paper explores how the evolution of human technology, from Paleolithic tools to modern digital systems, has shaped human development and led to environmental consequences. Early technologies, including composite tools and the controlled use of fire, display patterns of innovation centered on survival, efficiency, and adaptation. Over time, these technological systems became increasingly complex, culminating in the large-scale technologies commonly used in the modern era. While these technologies have significantly increased the quality of life for humans, they have also coincided with the loss of biodiversity. Using Javan rhinos as an example, this paper examines how modern technological systems, global incentives, and global demand have impacted poaching and habitat destruction. The paper argues that while technology was not originally designed to cause harm, its impact depends on how responsibly humans use it, and that future progress must prioritize ethical environmental stewardship.

INTRODUCTION

Human history is defined by technology. From the earliest stone tools shaped by Paleolithic hunter-gatherers to the complex online systems that dominate modern life, humans have always used technology in some form. The purpose of technology was primarily related to survival, efficiency, and communication. Although the materials and scale of technology have changed drastically, the core human impulse behind innovations has remained the same through the centuries: combining tools, knowledge, and resources to better adapt to the contemporary environment. However, as societies entered the Age of Globalization, technology began operating on a scale capable of reshaping ecosystems, economies, and species' survival (Getz & O'Connor, 2022). This paper will discuss how Paleolithic technologies laid the cognitive and structural foundations for modern technological values and economic incentives, which have contributed to the endangerment of species such as the Javan rhinoceros. Ultimately, while technology has advanced human civilization, its consequences reveal that technological power,unfettered by ethical responsibility, can threaten global biodiversity.

Across different eras of globalization, technology did not take the same form (Sachs, 2020). In the earliest periods of human expansion, technology was defined by simplicity and necessity, such as sharpened stone

tools and controlled fire, with survival techniques shared among migrating communities (Sachs, 2020). As civilizations grew and trade routes connected distant cultures, technology evolved to serve economic and political ambitions: iron weapons, sailing vessels, and agricultural systems reshaped entire continents (Scott, 2017). Then, with the Industrial Revolution and the modern Age of Globalization, technology accelerated beyond anything previous generations could have imagined, producing machines, chemicals, digital networks, and global supply chains that operate at a speed and scale incomprehensible to our ancestors (Sachs, 2020). At each stage, humans improved their tools and expanded their reach. Yet at each stage, the consequences for the natural world also grew. The tragedy is not that technology evolved; this was inevitable. However, with each leap forward, humanity failed to pause and ask what was being lost. Humans refined methods of extraction, production, and consumption without proportional investment in understanding the ecological cost. The result is a world in which human ingenuity has never been greater, and biodiversity has never been under more threat.

This paper draws on a non-exhaustive review of academic literature spanning the fields of archaeology, anthropology, environmental science, conservation biology, and the history of science and technology. Sources were identified through database searches including Google Scholar and PubMed, using search terms such as "Paleolithic composite tools," "evolution and technology," "Javan rhino conservation," "illegal wildlife trade," and "technology and biodiversity loss." Studies published in English were consulted, a language constraint which may have omitted relevant scholarship in Indonesian, Mandarin, or other languages particularly pertinent to Javan rhino conservation and traditional medicine demand.

While this paper traces the arc of human technological development from Paleolithic tool use to modern digital systems, it is important to clarify the nature of that continuity. The argument is not that any specific modern practice, for example, that the demand for rhino horn in traditional medicine descends in a direct, unbroken line from prehistoric behavior. Rather, the continuity being argued is one of pattern: across every era of human technological development, from the earliest composite tools to global digital trade networks, the same underlying logic has prevailed. Humans innovate to solve immediate problems of survival, efficiency, and social status, with environmental consequences that were not part of the original design intent. Stone tool technology and the genus *Homo* appeared almost simultaneously 2.5 million years ago, after which technological evolution was accompanied by increases in brain size, population size, and geographical range (Ambrose, 2001). The Paleolithic hunter who fashioned a hafted spear was not thinking about ecosystem collapse; no modern consumer purchasing traditional medicine is thinking about species extinction from their one purchase. What transformed this ancient pattern from a local phenomenon into a planetary-scale crisis was globalization. As transportation technologies collapsed geographic distance, as financial systems created international markets, and as digital platforms dissolved the final barriers between buyers and sellers across continents, demand that was once geographically contained became globally amplified (Sachs, 2020). A cultural belief held in one part of the world could now directly drive the extinction of a species living on the other side of it. The illegal wildlife trade is now the world's fourth-largest illegitimate transnational activity, falling closely behind narcotics, human trafficking, and counterfeit products with its value estimated at between $7 and $23 billion per year is an underestimate that is fueled by demand for consumption, status, and traditional medicine (Tow et al., 2021). In both cases, technology, be it a stone blade or a smartphone marketplace, served as an accelerant

for pre-existing human impulses. It is this structural parallel, not a direct historical causation, that gives the Javan rhino case its analytical power as a modern example of a problem pattern that is, in a meaningful sense, as old as human tool use itself, but whose consequences have been catastrophically scaled by the interconnected world that technology built. As Dr. Ambrose astutely notes, 'A mere 12,000 years separate the first bow and arrow from the International Space Station' (Ambrose, 2001, p1752) and the story of human progress and unanticipated consequences must be told.

PALEOLITHIC ERA TECHNOLOGY

The Paleolithic Era, meaning "Old Stone Age," marks the earliest period of human technological development. Paleolithic people lived as hunter-gatherers in small groups and relied on tools made of stone, bone, and wood for survival. Early technologies such as choppers, scrapers, and hand axes were produced through flint knapping, a technique that required planning, precision, and knowledge obtained through generations (Britannica, 2026). These tools allowed humans to butcher animals, process hides for clothing, and construct shelters, greatly increasing their chances of survival.

One of the most impactful Paleolithic innovations was the mastery of fire. Fire provided warmth, protection from predators, and the ability to cook food, which significantly increased the nutritional value of many foods. Archaeological evidence suggests that controlled fire use dates back at least 400,000 years (Smithsonian Institution, n.d.), which helped humans beyond survival. Fire sparked community communication, encouraging social bonding as people gathered to share food, stories, and knowledge around the fire.

The spread of tool-making knowledge during the Paleolithic era illustrates an early form of globalization: the movement of ideas. As early human groups migrated and occasionally encountered one another, successful technologies such as flint knapping techniques, hafting methods, and fire-making spread across vast distances (Henrich, 2015). Communication, whether through direct demonstration, imitation, or the transfer of physical materials, was the driving force of this early globalization of knowledge (Henrich, 2015). This sharing of innovation was largely positive, helping communities survive harsher climates and unfamiliar environments.

However, the globalization of ideas was not limited to practical tool-making. It also spread beliefs, myths, and superstitions. Among the ideas that traveled across cultures were early spiritual and medicinal beliefs about certain animals. Records of the use of rhino horn as a medicinal ingredient date back to 2600 BC in China, and these practices subsequently spread throughout Asia as Chinese medicine expanded across the continent (TRAFFIC, 2012). The rhinoceros, a powerful and rare animal, came to be associated in some regional traditions with supernatural strength and healing power. These beliefs were not based on science, but on the deeply human tendency to assign symbolic meaning to the natural world (Cheung et al., 2018). Tragically, it is precisely this ancient superstition, amplified and commercialized by the globalization of ideas, that drives the illegal trade in rhino horn. What began as a localized cultural belief has, through centuries of cross-cultural transmission, become a global market force pushing the Javan rhinoceros

toward extinction. Globalization, in this sense, acts as a double-edged sword: it spreads both knowledge and misinformation and serves as a conduit for development that can be used for nefarious purposes.

COMPOSITE TOOLS AND COGNITIVE DEVELOPMENT

A key concept connecting Paleolithic and modern technology is the idea of composite tools. Composite tools are tools made by combining multiple materials or components to improve efficiency. Early examples include stone-tipped spears, bone needles, and hafted tools, which combined wood, stone, sinew, or bone (Bradfield & Langley, 2025). These innovations were the result of high-level critical thinking, demonstrating that Paleolithic humans were highly intelligent and capable of adapting to new environments.

As technology evolved over time, composite tools became increasingly complex. Composite tool manufacture may have co-evolved with grammatical language around 300,000 years ago, indicating the emergence of complex social behaviors and cumulative cultural transmission (Ambrose, 2010). Some tools eventually evolved into metal tools, which later advanced into machinery, electronics, and digital systems, each generation building on and integrating the last. In the age of globalization, this logic of combination reached its most sophisticated expression in digital networks. The smartphone integrated several independent technologies such as the telephone, computer, camera, music player, and geolocating tools, into a single device, establishing a $350 billion industry and representing the defining example of technological convergence (Congressional Research Service, 2019). Just as a Paleolithic crafted spear combined bone, wood, and stone into a tool greater than the sum of its parts, the modern smartphone combines hardware, software, sensors, and global networks into a device of extraordinary reach and capability. The second stage of this cognitive-technological evolution involved enhanced planning through information sharing, which required the establishment of extended regional social interaction networks based on trust and cooperation (Ambrose, 2010) , a description that also explains the attraction of the modern internet itself. What began as a stone blade has become an intertwined system of interconnected composite technologies, each reflecting the same underlying human drive to combine, innovate, and extend reach beyond immediate physical limits.

MODERN TECHNOLOGY AND ITS CONSEQUENCES: THE JAVAN RHINOCEROS

Humanity's accelerated reliance on fast-paced technology has also produced unintended consequences. A clear example is the endangerment of the Javan rhinoceros (*Rhinoceros sondaicus*). With a habitat that once spanned Southeast Asia and India, the species is now confined to a single location. According to the International Rhino Foundation (2024), only about 76 Javan rhinos remain in the wild, making it one of the most endangered large mammals on Earth.

Unlike Paleolithic technologies, which prioritized immediate survival needs, modern technology often prioritizes profit and status. Rhino poaching is driven by consumer demand and profit. The Age of Globalization has coincided with threats to the species, as modern tools and systems, including advanced weapons, transportation networks, and illegal trade markets, have escalated the poaching of rhino horns.

Although scientific research has repeatedly demonstrated that rhino horn, composed primarily of keratin, has no proven medicinal value, the demand for rhino horn persists in some markets because it is viewed as a luxury status symbol rather than a medical necessity (World Wildlife Fund, 2024). Other threats, such as habitat loss caused by deforestation, agriculture, and human settlements, have further pushed this species to the brink of extinction. Global material extraction has more than tripled since 1970, placing unprecedented pressure on ecosystems and wildlife habitats (United Nations Environment Programme, 2019). With such a small rhino population size, the species faces genetic risks, such as inbreeding, making it even more vulnerable to disease and environmental disasters (Save the Rhino International, 2025). Despite growing international awareness and updated conservation ethics that emphasize biodiversity protection, the Javan rhinoceros is still critically endangered and one ecological catastrophe away from extinction (Dang Vu & Nielsen, 2020).

A particularly alarming dimension of this crisis is that conservation efforts, despite being more sophisticated than ever, cannot keep pace with the rate of rhino extermination. Conservationists work tirelessly to protect the remaining population in Ujung Kulon National Park, but they are fighting against forces that are faster, better-funded, and operating globally(International Rhino Foundation, 2024). Poaching networks use encrypted communications, sophisticated smuggling routes, and corrupt border officials to move rhino horn across continents in days (Wildlife Justice Commission, 2022). Meanwhile, habitat protection is a slow, bureaucratic process constrained by funding, political will, and competing land-use interests. The genetic pool of the remaining Javan rhinos is so small that even without further poaching, the population faces serious long-term viability challenges (International Rhino Foundation, 2024).For every camera trap installed or patrol route established, illegal operators adapt their methods. The truth is that the appetite for destruction is currently outpacing the love of nature and conservation. Without a fundamental shift in approach, including not just better tools but stronger international law enforcement and a significant reduction in demand, the technological arms race between poachers and conservationists will continue to favor the former.

THE POTENTIAL OF TECHNOLOGY IN CONSERVATION EFFORTS.

The comparison between Paleolithic technology and modern technological systems reveals an important lesson. Early humans developed tools that worked in balance with their environment, allowing them to survive without permanently destroying the ecosystems they depended on. In contrast, modern technology has the capability to reshape entire environments, sometimes faster than species can adapt (Doull et al., 2021).

However, technology can also be used as a remedy. Conservation efforts using camera traps, genetic research, habitat restoration, and protected zones show that modern tools can be used responsibly to preserve endangered species like the Javan rhinoceros. Satellite tracking allows researchers to monitor movement patterns and detect unusual activity in real time. DNA analysis helps scientists assess the genetic diversity of the remaining population and plan breeding strategies to avoid inbreeding collapse. Drone surveillance covers vast stretches of dense jungle that would take dozens of rangers days to patrol on foot (Doull et al., 2021).International databases link law enforcement agencies across borders, helping

to disrupt smuggling networks. These technological advances are genuinely meaningful and without them, the Javan rhinoceros would likely already be extinct. Just as Paleolithic humans adapted their tools to survive, modern societies must adapt their technologies to protect the natural world.

Unfortunately, there is a limit to what technology can accomplish on its own. The core driver of rhino poaching is not surveillance technology; rather, it is a persistent belief held by millions of people that rhino horn possesses medicinal or spiritual properties. This belief is rooted in centuries of cultural tradition, and it has proven remarkably resistant to scientific refutation. Studies have shown clearly that rhino horn has no pharmacological efficacy whatsoever (World Wildlife Fund, 2024). This information is widely available, and yet, demand endures. Technology cannot change what people believe. It cannot override deeply held cultural values or dismantle the social status attached to purchasing a product that has been revered for generations. No drone can stop a transaction that takes place because of a worldview. This is the fundamental limitation that conservation science must reckon with honestly: the problem is not technological, it is human. Only a genuine shift in cultural attitudes, driven by education, community engagement, influential voices within the communities where demand exists, and a willingness to confront uncomfortable truths about tradition, can address the root cause (Dang Vu & Nielsen, 2020). Technology is a tool in that effort, but the effort itself must be human.

FUTURE STEPS

Preventing a species from extinction requires aligning technological advancement with ethical responsibility and long-term vision. Three key areas demand urgent and sustained attention if the Javan rhinoceros is to survive into the next century. The first and most urgent priority is habitat expansion. Currently, all remaining Javan rhinos live within a single national park, Ujung Kulon, in western Java, making the entire species catastrophically vulnerable to a single disaster event (International Rhino Foundation, 2024). A volcanic eruption from the nearby Krakatau complex, a disease outbreak, or a severe natural disaster could eliminate the entire population overnight. Establishing a second viable habitat, carefully selected for appropriate vegetation, water availability, and security, would distribute this risk and provide a critical buffer for the species. This is not simply an ecological goal but a logistical and political one, requiring land negotiation, habitat preparation, and the complex process of relocating animals safely. Conservation organizations and the Indonesian government have discussed second-site options, but progress has been slow. Accelerating this process must be treated as an urgent biological emergency, not a long-term aspiration.

The second area of focus is genetic monitoring. With a population of only around 76 individuals, the Javan rhinoceros faces serious inbreeding risks that could undermine the long-term health and adaptability of the species even if poaching were eliminated entirely. Scientists can analyze DNA samples collected non-invasively from environmental sources such as dung, hair, and water to track genetic diversity across individuals and identify which animals carry rare or valuable genetic traits. According to the International Rhino Foundation, genetic monitoring allows conservationists to better understand population health and guide breeding and habitat management strategies (International Rhino Foundation, 2024). This data can inform decisions about which animals should be prioritized for relocation to any future second habitat,

and can help identify individuals showing early signs of genetic stress. As sequencing technology becomes cheaper and more accessible, there is a growing opportunity to build a comprehensive genomic database for the Javan rhinoceros that could serve conservation planning for decades to come.

Third, stronger anti-poaching technology must be deployed at scale. Drones equipped with infrared cameras are already proving their worth in other conservation contexts, capable of patrolling remote terrain at night and detecting suspicious human movement (Doull et al., 2021). According to the World Wildlife Fund, drone technology allows conservationists to monitor large, difficult-to-access terrain much more efficiently than traditional ground patrols (World Wildlife Fund, 2024). Beyond drones, acoustic monitoring systems can detect the sounds of gunshots or vehicle engines in protected zones and automatically alert rangers in real time. AI-powered camera trap analysis can process thousands of images per day, flagging unusual human or animal behavior without requiring manual review. These tools, however, are only effective when supported by well-trained, well-equipped, and fairly compensated rangers, and by legal systems that impose meaningful consequences on poachers and traffickers. Technology without institutional support is merely expensive hardware.

Just as globalization made it easier for people to find ways to poach, it can also facilitate cooperation to curtail poaching. International funding, shared research, and technological innovations can create a global conservation effort that minimizes damage done to endangered species.

LIMITATIONS

This paper acknowledges several important limitations that should be considered when interpreting its conclusions. Most notably, the Javan Rhino, is a single species from a vast number of animal species. It cannot serve as a definitive basis for broader generalizations about the relationship between technological development and biodiversity loss. The Javan rhino is an important story of animal extinction, but this paper also acknowledges that the decline is shaped by a unique combination of geographic isolation, low reproductive rates, and exceptionally high black-market demand, factors that may not be representative of the wider range of species affected by human technological expansion. Different species, ecosystems, and regional contexts will yield substantially different conclusions about the mechanisms and magnitude of technology-driven environmental harm. Future research employing comparative case studies across multiple species and biomes would strengthen the ideas posited here and allow for more robust conclusions about the generalizable relationship between human technological development, globalization, and biodiversity loss.

CONCLUSION

From Paleolithic stone tools to modern digital networks, technology has always been a way for humans to adapt and innovate. The principle of composite tools demonstrates how technology is cumulative, each new iteration improving on the past. However, each successive age of globalization has amplified the

scale of human impact on the natural world. The near extinction of the Javan rhino illustrates how the advancement of technology, combined with economic interest, is a threat to biodiversity.

Yet technology is not inherently destructive. The challenge for modern society is not to reject technological progress, but to redefine its purpose. Technology must not only fulfill humanity's immediate needs but must also be consciously directed toward conserving ecological sustainability. The future of species like the Javan rhinoceros depends on whether humanity can handle its technological advancements with restraint, foresight, and global responsibility.

The story of the Javan rhinoceros is ultimately a story about the limits of technology as a solution to human-made problems. Satellites, drones, and genetic databases are extraordinary tools, but they cannot substitute for the one thing that is truly needed: a change in the values that drive human behavior. The same globalization that spread tool-making knowledge across prehistoric continents also spread the belief systems that make rhino horn desirable today. And just as no Paleolithic innovation could have anticipated the ecological consequences of the Industrial Age, no modern conservation technology can fully compensate for a global culture that continues to place economic value above ecological survival. The most important lesson from the past is not a technical one, rather it is a moral one. Globalization did not create the demand threatening the Javan rhino; it simply gave that demand a planetary reach, connecting consumers and poachers across continents in ways that no single conservation effort can easily disrupt. The lesson is not that technology is inherently destructive, but that its consequences scale with the responsibilities humans are or are not willing to assume alongside it. As long as technological progress continues to outrun ethical stewardship, species like the Javan rhino will remain casualties not of nature, but of human choice. Humanity has always had the capacity to destroy as well as to create. The question that will define the coming century is not whether we have the technology to save endangered species, but whether we have the wisdom and will to choose to do so. As humans ponder these choices, the Javan rhinoceros, with less than one hundred left in captivity and wild, is running out of time.

REFERENCES

Ambrose, S. H. (2010). Coevolution of composite-tool technology, constructive memory, and language: implications for the evolution of modern human behavior. *Current Anthropology*, *51*(S1), S135-S147.

Ambrose, S. H. (2001). Paleolithic technology and human evolution. *Science*, *291*(5509), 1748–1753. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1059487

Bradfield, J., & Langley, M. C. (2025). Bone Tool Diversity During the Stone Age: More Insights into the Human Story. *Journal of Archaeological Research*. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10814-025-09211-2

Britannica. (2026). Stone tool. Encyclopedia Britannica. https://www.britannica.com/technology/stone-tool

Cheung, H., Mazerolle, L., Possingham, H. P., & Biggs, D. (2018). Medicinal use and legalized trade of rhinoceros horn from the perspective of traditional Chinese medicine practitioners in Hong Kong. Tropical Conservation Science, 11. https://doi.org/10.1177/1940082918787428

Dang Vu, H. N., & Nielsen, M. R. (2020). Evidence or delusion: a critique of contemporary rhino horn demand reduction strategies. *Human Dimensions of Wildlife*, *26*(4), 390–400. https://doi.org/10.1080/10871209.2020.1818896

Doull, K. E., Chalmers, C., Fergus, P., Longmore, S., Piel, A. K., & Wich, S. A. (2021). An Evaluation of the Factors Affecting "Poacher" Detection with Drones and the Efficacy of Machine-Learning for Detection. *Sensors*, *21*(12), 4074. https://doi.org/10.3390/s21124074

Getz, T., & O'Connor, B. B. (2022). Era 5 overview: The first global age. OER Project. https://www.oerproject.com

International Rhino Foundation. (2024). Javan rhino. https://rhinos.org

Henrich, J. (2015). *The Secret of Our Success: How Culture Is Driving Human Evolution, Domesticating Our Species, and Making Us Smarter*. Princeton University Press.

Nardelli, F., & Robovský, J. (2022). New data on the ecology and conservation of the Javan rhinoceros *Rhinoceros sondaicus* Desmarest, 1822. Gazella, 49.

Sachs, J. D. (2020). *The Ages of Globalization: Geography, Technology, and Institutions*. Columbia University Press.

Save the Rhino International. (2025). Javan rhino. https://www.savetherhino.org/rhino-info/rhino-species/javan-rhino/

Scott, J. C. (2017). *Against the grain: a deep history of the earliest states*. Yale University Press.

Smithsonian Institution. (n.d.). The earliest evidence of fire. Smithsonian National Museum of Natural History. https://humanorigins.si.edu

Tow, J. H., Symes, W. S., & Carrasco, L. R. (2021). Economic value of illegal wildlife trade entering the USA. *PLoS One*, *16*(10), e0258523.

TRAFFIC. (2012). Rhinoceros horn use: Proposed measures to reduce consumer demand (SC62 Doc. 47.2 Annex Rev. 2). CITES Secretariat. https://cites.org/sites/default/files/eng/com/sc/62/E62-47-02-A.pdf

United Nations Environment Programme. (2019). Global resources outlook 2019: Natural resources for the future we want. United Nations.

Wildlife Justice Commission. (2022). Rhino horn trafficking as a form of transnational organized crime (2012-2021): 2022 global threat assessment. https://issuu.com/wildlifejustice/docs/rhino-horn-trafficking-report-2022-v19-spreads

World Wildlife Fund. (2024). Javan rhino. https://www.worldwildlife.org