

Digital Trust and Consumer Psychology: A Robust Analysis of Youth Entrepreneurship in Emerging Indian Markets

Shikhar Mishra
shikhar8108@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

The paper examines the changing nature of consumer behaviour in the digital business age, and how digital platforms, marketing approaches, and behavioural economics are influencing the relationship between the youth entrepreneurs and contemporary consumers. While prior research has largely examined digital platform engagement, AI-driven decision-making, and consumer trust as separate constructs, an integrated empirical understanding of how these dimensions jointly shape consumer behaviour towards youth-led ventures in emerging markets such as India remains limited, and this study addresses that gap. It was a quantitative study that was carried out in India amongst urban, sub-urban and rural areas through a structured questionnaire personally designed and administered by the author, that was filled by 900 individuals to ensure generalizability. The research used four central dimensions of digital platform influence, digital marketing effectiveness, consumer trust, and entrepreneurial adaptability by employing statistical techniques based on SPSS with correlation and regression tests. The results showed that the level of consumer perceptions and purchasing behaviour is greatly affected by the digital platform presence, and digital marketing tactics, including social media marketing, SEO, and influencer partnerships, positively impact ROI and brand performance by great margins. Moreover, consumer trust turned out to be a considerable factor of loyalty and preference to youth-led entrepreneurship. The analysis further reveals a positive relationship between entrepreneurial challenges and adaptability, demonstrating that digital complexities stimulate innovation and continuous learning. The findings highlight the complexities of the relationship between psychology, technology, and marketing strategy in the development of sustainable digital entrepreneurship. Beyond these substantive findings, the study contributes an original, primary dataset on youth entrepreneurship and digital consumer behaviour, collected specifically for this research, which constitutes an empirical resource that remains scarce within the existing literature. This research will have an impact on the literature in behavioural economics and digital marketing as it provides practical information to young entrepreneurs aiming to operate in the ever-changing consumer ecosystem.

Keywords: *Consumer Behaviour, Digital Entrepreneurship, Youth Entrepreneurs, Digital Marketing Strategies, Behavioral Economics, Consumer Trust*

1. INTRODUCTION

The digital business landscape marks a fundamental shift in how technology, entrepreneurship, and human psychology intersect. Digital platforms have redefined not just communication but how businesses reach and retain audiences, turning consumers from passive recipients of marketing into active co-creators of brand narratives. Behavioral economics, digital marketing, and artificial intelligence have converged into a system where emotional appeal, algorithmic personalization, and social validation now drive decisions more than rational calculation does. Young entrepreneurs sit at the centre of this shift, using digital literacy, data analytics, and entrepreneurial agility to read consumer behaviour and anticipate responses. Paul, Alhassan, Binsaif, and Singh (2023) note that digital entrepreneurship has lowered entry costs, letting innovators scale globally at minimal cost. For these entrepreneurs, success rests on combining emotional trust with technological mediation to shape consumer intent.

Digital entrepreneurship works less like an ordinary business and more like a real-time behavioural experiment. By combining artificial intelligence, social media, and data marketing, entrepreneurs track consumer emotions and engagement as they happen, so every digital touchpoint becomes a site of behavioural influence. Efendioğlu (2024) calls this an attention economy, where time, emotion, and perception are the scarce resources marketers compete for, and where information overload, recommendation algorithms, and social proof push consumers toward decisions built on validation and belonging rather than reason. Smartphones and social media have become psychological extensions of the self, blurring the line between private life and business, while platforms such as Instagram, YouTube, and TikTok merge entertainment, consumption, and identity, so advertising now works through narrative, stories and visuals that mirror consumers' own aspirations and anxieties.

Nyrhinen et al. (2024) show that emotional arousal combined with algorithmic personalization drives quick, subconscious purchasing among younger consumers, a clear illustration of behavioural economics at work in online trade. Curiosity, immediate gratification, and peer pressure make consumers respond to digital cues in predictable ways, and for young entrepreneurs, managing this subtle interaction is central to building brand loyalty. By using predictive analytics to track market trends and personalise content, they create feedback loops where data informs creativity and creativity generates further data, so the online market behaves like a living system that continuously responds to consumers' psychological and emotional cues.

The convergence of entrepreneurship and behavioural science has turned the entrepreneur's own digital persona into a persuasive market force: consumers now judge not just products but the people and stories behind them. Trust cues, an entrepreneur's personality, credibility, and visibility, shape consumer perception regardless of a product's quality or price. An, Di, and Liu (2022) find that consumer trust and perceived reliability track closely with an entrepreneur's online popularity and frequency of interaction. Young entrepreneurs who communicate well through live sessions, comments, and open storytelling build the kind of relational reliability that today's consumers increasingly value, turning their identity into an emotive brand story grounded in ethical appeal. Bartoli (2022) argues that digitalisation has dissolved the boundary between self and brand, producing a co-created self-concept in which consumers and

entrepreneurs continuously shape each other's identity.

This shift toward relational branding fits broader behavioural-economic theories that treat trust as a form of social capital: a mental and financial asset built through consistency, transparency, and responsiveness, and sustained by engagement, retention, and advocacy. Unlike established corporations, young entrepreneurs depend heavily on perceived integrity and relatability to earn consumer loyalty, so every post, review reply, or product story becomes a performative act that builds their moral reputation. The resulting parasocial relationships between entrepreneurs and followers scale word-of-mouth marketing to a global level, an effect especially powerful among younger, authenticity-driven consumers.

Digital marketing today works as a two-way performance rather than a one-way broadcast, built on interaction, feedback, and shared experience. Tian et al. (2022) find that mobile short-video advertising builds both awareness and emotional response, speeding up purchase decisions, a pattern consistent with the stimulus-organisation-response model, in which visual and auditory stimuli reshape cognitive judgment and trigger the affective responses that drive behaviour. This psychological acceleration explains why youth entrepreneurs favour platforms like TikTok and Instagram Reels: the urgency of short-form content satisfies consumers' desire for instant gratification and relevance, while letting entrepreneurs humanise their offerings through creative storytelling.

Algorithmic mediation deepens this relationship further: machine learning shows users what they are likely to want, times engagement for maximum effect, and tailors advertising to micro-segmented behavioural data. This curation reduces uncertainty and increases consumers' sense of control and satisfaction, but it also narrows choice by steering behaviour algorithmically, so consumers feel free while being shaped by predictive analytics. Young entrepreneurs must manage this tension between empowerment and influence; those who build ethical, transparent, and emotionally intelligent engagement systems stand to earn more durable trust as scepticism toward digital manipulation grows.

Research Gap

Although much is known in terms of digital marketing, behavioral economics, and consumer engagement, there is still a gap in terms of integrated insights into how digital platforms, psychological processes, and entrepreneurial actions interact to create a system that generates understanding of modern consumer behavior. The research paper has examined the effects of social media influence, artificial intelligence-driven decision-making, or trust-building individually, which ignores their intersectionality in the context of youth-led business ecosystems. Previous studies have also focused on measurements of engagement, as opposed to analyzing the level of consumer perception, long-term loyalty, or digital investment payback. Moreover, even though trust has been cited as a major factor that determines online behavior, there is a lack of empirical information on how young entrepreneurs develop credibility as opposed to established brands. Similarly, there is a lack of studies on the adaptive problems that youth entrepreneurs have when interpreting quickly changing digital behaviors. The gaps are pointing to the necessity of a comprehensive, data-driven, framework relating behavioral economics, technology, and

digital entrepreneurship to understand consumer reactions in the digital age. This conceptual fragmentation is compounded by an empirical one: large-scale, multi-construct primary datasets that allow these dimensions to be examined jointly, particularly in the context of Indian youth entrepreneurship, remain scarce. The present study addresses this empirical gap directly by contributing an original, primary dataset of 900 responses, collected specifically for this research.

The behavioral aspect of digital entrepreneurship applies to the manner in which consumers understand and experience convenience, personalization, and credibility in the digital ecosystems, as well. Consumers are more and more demanding access to information instantly, to have an uninterrupted shopping experience, and to receive products that are suggested according to their specific needs and values. This has been dubbed as digital immediacy and has redefined consumer needs and entrepreneurial reactions as well. Businesses run by youths, especially those with e-commerce and service-based businesses, will need to find ways of adapting to the ever-present pressure on responsiveness. Any sluggishness in response or irregularity of online communication can undermine confidence, particularly to technology-focused consumers used to hassle-free communication. According to Efendioğlu (2024), the cognitive burden posed by the proliferation of the digital reminds consumers that only heuristic shortcuts, such as, emotional cues, familiarity with brands, and communal pressure, can be used in decisions. These heuristics, enhanced by the digital interface, provide chance to entrepreneurs influence decisions by the ways of imposing psychological framing, but not by persuading.

These behavioral mechanisms support the significance of design thinking and user experience optimization as the main elements of the contemporary marketing strategy. Behavioral data analytics are becoming widely used by youth entrepreneurs, who concentrate on pinpointing points of pain in consumer journeys and align digital interfaces based on the feedback they receive. It is based on these insights that experiences can be created that reduce the amount of effort but maximize emotional satisfaction. Having interactive feedback mechanisms, such as ratings, personalized emails or gamified loyalty programs does not only help keep consumers but also turn them into active co-creators of brand identity. With consumers giving feedback by clicking and reviewing and interacting, the entrepreneurs are able to improve their strategies by creating a predictive view of upcoming preferences and the loop of observation to innovation is effectively closed. The resulting relationship is symbiotic: consumers are feeling understood and valued and entrepreneurs are developing the predictive intelligence to maintain a competitive advantage.

Besides, the interaction between youth entrepreneurship and behavioral economics indicates the appearance of the ethical issues in digital business. With the advances in algorithms to predict consumer habits, privacy, transparency, and manipulation have become a concern of discussion. Credibility of youth entrepreneurs in the long term solely depends on the fragile balance between influence and autonomy. Once trust is formed based on personal interaction it now has to be maintained via the algorithmic accountability. The entrepreneurs who share the information about their use of AI-based personalization tools and observe the limitations of data are more likely to retain consumers loyalty, in contrast to those who leverage their behavioral weaknesses. The modern consumer, especially young people, show an increasing understanding of the ethics of data and seek integrity among digital brands. As a result,

sustainable entrepreneurship is now a matter not of innovation alone but also of moral positioning, that is, an agreement between business and the values of a conscious digital society.

This convergence of behavioural insight, emotional intelligence, and technology is redefining entrepreneurship itself. Today's young business owner acts as a cultural architect, shaping the attitudes, expectations, and consumption habits of a connected generation by using social media, AI analytics, and behavioural insight to make technology feel human and commerce feel personal. As Paul et al. (2023) and Tian et al. (2022) both conclude, this space rewards constant adaptability, since digital behaviour stays dynamic and shaped by ongoing human-machine interaction. The digital marketplace is therefore a living ecosystem where entrepreneurs and consumers co-evolve through data, trust, and emotional exchange, and the future of consumer behaviour here will depend on youth entrepreneurs balancing automation with genuineness so technology supports, rather than replaces, the human core of business.

Building on this gap, the central tenet of this paper is that consumer behaviour towards youth-led ventures in India's digital economy cannot be adequately explained by digital platform presence, marketing effectiveness, or consumer trust considered in isolation; rather, it is the joint, behaviourally-grounded interaction of these factors with entrepreneurial adaptability that underpins sustainable digital entrepreneurship. In demonstrating this, the paper challenges the assumption, implicit in much of the existing literature, that these dimensions can be meaningfully examined independently of one another.

This research examines how digital platforms, marketing technologies, and behavioural factors jointly shape consumer behaviour toward youth entrepreneurs in today's digital economy. It investigates how social media activity, digital marketing strategy, and trust affect purchasing intentions, brand perception, and return on investment. Combining behavioural economics with SPSS-based empirical analysis, the study links psychological decision-making, digital communication trends, and entrepreneurial adaptation, aiming to produce empirical results that can inform more effective and ethically sound strategies for youth-led digital businesses.

The paper proceeds in seven parts: an introduction setting out the research context and central thesis; a literature review situating the study within scholarship on digital marketing, behavioural economics, and youth entrepreneurship; a methodology section outlining the stratified sampling design and SPSS-based analysis; a results section reporting findings across the four research objectives; a discussion interpreting those findings through a behavioural economics lens; a conclusion summarising contributions, limitations, and future directions; and a dataset contribution section describing the empirical resource this study produces.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

Sep 2026
Vol 11. No 5.

Theme 1: Digital Marketing Strategies and Consumer Engagement

Digital marketing has moved well beyond traditional advertising to become a field of behavioural science that blends psychology, communication, and data analysis to shape consumer interaction online. Engagement is no longer just a reaction to marketing stimuli; it is now a multidimensional process involving emotional, cognitive, and behavioural investment. Eslami, Ghasemaghaei, and Hassanein (2022) find that engagement varies with a product's life-cycle stage, so digital marketers need to adapt their tactics as consumer interest shifts from launch to maturity.

Building on this, Brodie, Lim, Gandhi, and Manrai (2025) treat customer engagement as its own field, centred on consumers' active involvement in digital spaces as a two-way, co-creative experience that builds emotional and psychological bonds between brand and consumer, going well beyond clicks and likes to underpin sustainable brand equity. Trunfio and Rossi (2021) reach a similar conclusion in a systematic review, finding that authenticity and interactivity, not one-way promotion, drive real engagement, with user-generated content and interactive brand experiences increasingly valued for the credibility they add.

Saikia and Bhattacharjee (2024) highlight theoretical inconsistencies in how online engagement is conceptualised, using a TCCM (Theory-Context-Characteristics-Methodology) framework to call for a more unified approach that combines psychological, contextual, and technological perspectives. Their work underscores that engagement is multifactorial rather than linear, shaped jointly by platform algorithms, cultural norms, and personal motivation.

From an empirical angle, Ao et al. (2023) run a meta-analysis confirming that influencer marketing transforms engagement and purchase intention, driven largely by parasocial relationships, the sense of intimacy and trust consumers form with influencers, whose perceived authority narrows the gap between personal recommendation and commercial endorsement. Mardhatilah, Omar, and Septiari (2024) add that engagement flourishes in participatory brand communities, where two-way interaction and a sense of belonging, built through feedback systems, co-created content, and open communication, strengthen consumer relationships over the long term.

Shaheen (2025), in a Scopus-based bibliometric analysis, finds that social media marketing research is shifting toward sustainability, ethics, and long-term trust, moving away from short-term promotion and toward relationship-based approaches, a sign of the field's growing maturity. Companies that communicate transparently and consistently build stronger loyalty and hold up better against competition.

Together, these studies confirm that digital engagement is not a one-off transaction but a trust-based, technology-mediated, and co-creative process. For youth entrepreneurs, mastering it means understanding how emotion, content authenticity, and data insight interact, using social media analytics, community-building, and influencer relationships to earn credible digital identities and lasting consumer loyalty in a competitive market.

Theme 2: Behavioral Economics and AI-Driven Decision-Making

The convergence of behavioural economics and artificial intelligence (AI) has transformed how consumers make decisions, perceive value, and experience digital services. Models that assumed rational decision-making are giving way to ones that account for cognitive bias, emotion, and subconscious motivation, as recommendation engines, chatbots, and predictive algorithms increasingly shape consumer perceptions and preferences. Wang (2025) notes that AI already narrows consumer autonomy by strategically framing options and steering interest toward particular products, so machine learning can push consumers toward a given outcome while preserving the impression of free choice.

Riandhi, Arviansyah, and Sondari (2025), in a Scopus-based systematic review, find that AI has shifted marketing from transactional to predictively personalised, using behavioural data to deliver content that appeals to emotion rather than reason, and in doing so builds trust, engagement, and loyalty through a sense of personal attention. Hermann and Puntoni (2024) add that predictive AI is evolving into generative AI, letting marketers adapt content in real time to users' psychological triggers and emotional states; generative AI does not just predict demand but creates new consumer realities, making buying itself an act of co-creation between human thought and algorithmic design.

Nogueira, Lopes, and Gomes (2025) frame this as a new age of AI-mediated consumption, in which digital decision-making models must now incorporate behavioural biases, anchoring, framing, social proof, directly into algorithmic predictions, reflecting the behavioural-economics premise that even irrational tendencies can be forecast from data. Lopez-Lopez and Iniesta (2025) provide empirical support, showing that conversational AI and chatbots meaningfully build trust, decision confidence, and after-sale satisfaction by imitating empathy and authenticity, turning transactional interactions into psychologically meaningful ones.

Jain, Wadhwani, and Eastman (2024) examine the ethical and cognitive limits of AI-driven decision-making, identifying transparency, explainability, and affective computing as emerging priorities for maintaining trust as AI becomes more embedded in consumer experience. Larenas and Calero (2025) similarly find that pairing behavioural economics with AI improves decision quality by balancing data efficiency against emotional reasoning, narrowing the gap between rational analytics and human intuition. Applying this combined framework to financial behaviour, Khuntia et al. (2025) find that AI-based analytics can surface cognitive biases, improve financial literacy, and curb impulsive decisions.

Together, these studies confirm that AI-based behavioural models are redefining consumer rationality, turning digital marketing into a cognitive ecosystem where technology and psychology co-evolve. AI and behavioural economics together are shifting marketing from persuasion toward prediction and personalisation, where data intelligence, emotional design, and ethical interaction will shape the future of online consumer behaviour.

Theme 3: Youth Entrepreneurship and Evolving Consumer Trust

The digital economy has found young entrepreneurship as its foundation due to the acute technological innovation, the growing digital infrastructure, and the generational shift to self-sufficiency and creativity. The modern business world presents the best opportunities to young entrepreneurs to build and grow businesses using digital platforms, but it also poses challenges that depend on how much consumers trust using virtual environments. Rosado-Cubero, Hernández, Blanco-Jiménez, and Freire-Rubio (2024) highlighted the importance of business incubators and formal mentorship programs in supporting the success of start-up businesses. They claim that ecosystems of innovations do not only avail financial and technical resources but also social and psychological support that is required to maintain youth-led initiatives. Nonetheless, as much as the role of innovation and technology matters the success of youth entrepreneurship in the long run rests on the necessity of building trust-based relationships with digital consumers. Trust is a psychological facilitator and an economic resource that affects retention rates and the word-of-mouth marketing approach as well as the overall reputation of digital brands.

Bi, Boh, and Christopoulos (2021) noted that trust behaviours of entrepreneurs differ with those of non-entrepreneurs in a comparative study. Their results indicate that experience of entrepreneurship is developed by means of experiential learning, taking strategic risks, and the formation of social capital which, in combination, make the difference between business founders and ordinary consumers. In the digital ecosystem, trust is a behavioural result of transparency, reliability and interactivity. As an example, Tedjakusuma et al. (2025) have shown that consumer trust is an intermediary between platform characteristics and the levels of engagement in live-streaming online shopping. The research established that customer trust in online shopping is enhanced by perceived integrity, authenticity and real-time social interaction.

Continuing on the technological aspect, Uriarte, Baier-Fuentes, Espinoza-Benavides, and Inzunza-Mendoza (2025) examined the role of artificial intelligence (AI) technologies in transforming the way entrepreneurs make decisions. AI-based applications verify the credibility, track reputation, and engage consumers in a personalized way, making online transactions, in terms of perceived risks, more transparent and trustworthy. Such systems help to create the environment in which consumers feel safe and appreciated that is essential to keep trust in the youth-led ventures. Equally, Kakkar, Kalia, Panesar, and Sood (2025) discovered that in the context of social commerce, the quality and reliability of technology influence directly consumer purchase intention and word-of-mouth behaviour. Their findings emphasize that one should strive to achieve technological perfection and uniformity of service delivery in order to build long-term trust.

In a larger sense, De Klerk, Buick, and Ranasinghne (2025) talked about how young entrepreneurs in developing economies are using digital innovation to circumvent structural market barriers. Through the use of online channels, such entrepreneurs can increase the reach to underrepresented markets, promote inclusion, thereby enhancing consumer confidence. Specifically, Emmanuel, Qin, Hossain, and Hussain (2022) focused on the social media empowerment of young female entrepreneurs by pointing out ethical

marketing and open communication as one of the key trust-building factors. Finally, Jesse et al. (2025) proposed a brand distrust model among young consumers, proposing that ethical consistency and open communication should be at the centre of digital consumer trust.

All these researches together prove that trust and technology are two interwoven pillars of a successful youth entrepreneurship in the digital age. Digital tools can give you visibility and reach, and the combination of authenticity, ethical behavior, and consumer centric transparency are what will define long term sustainability and growth in the quickly changing digital market.

3. METHODOLOGY

3.1 Objective of the Study

- **Objective 1:** To explore the mechanisms through which digital platforms (social media, marketplaces, etc.) influence and shape consumer behaviour specifically towards youth-led businesses.
- **Objective 2:** To analyze the relative effectiveness and ROI of various digital marketing strategies (e.g., social media marketing, e-commerce SEO, influencer partnerships) as employed by young entrepreneurs.
- **Objective 3:** To investigate the factors that build consumer trust and dictate preferences for products and services offered by youth startups versus established brands.
- **Objective 4:** To identify and elaborate on the primary challenges young entrepreneurs face in perceiving, understanding, and adapting to rapidly evolving digital consumer behaviour.

This study utilized a quantitative methodology to achieve a thorough comprehension of consumer behavior in the dynamic digital business environment. The study was conducted in India, a significant center for technological innovation and entrepreneurship, and aimed to ascertain the impact of digitalization on consumer decision-making and entrepreneurial strategies. The target population consisted of young entrepreneurs employing digital platforms for business activities and digital consumers interacting with these enterprises. For the purposes of this study, a "youth entrepreneur" is operationally defined as an individual aged between 18 and 37 years who owns or leads a digitally-enabled business venture, consistent with the age brackets used for sampling and reported in Table 1. To guarantee equitable and impartial representation, a stratified random sampling method was employed, categorizing participants based on age, education, and occupation. A total of 900 respondents participated, yielding sufficient data for both descriptive and inferential statistical analysis. The research utilized a descriptive and exploratory design, facilitating the identification of significant behavioral trends and the examination of interrelationships among variables, including digital marketing strategies, consumer trust, and entrepreneurial adaptability. A structured questionnaire containing demographic and Likert-scale questions aimed at assessing perceptions, attitudes, and behavioral tendencies was employed.

to gather primary data. This questionnaire was personally designed and administered by the author, and the resulting dataset was not drawn from any prior study or secondary source; it constitutes an original, primary dataset collected specifically for this research. MS Excel and SPSS were used to process and analyze the data. Statistical tools like mean, standard deviation, correlation, and regression analysis were used to look for connections, make predictions, and test hypotheses. The methodological framework guaranteed rigor, validity, and reliability, enabling a comprehensive understanding of how digital ecosystems influence the interactions between consumers and youth-driven entrepreneurial ventures in the modern economy.

Before testing the four research objectives, the internal consistency of the measurement instrument — that is, the uni-dimensionality and reliability of each construct's items — was assessed as a methodological prerequisite. Internal consistency reliability refers to the degree to which the multiple items intended to measure a given construct produce coherent, correlated scores; uni-dimensionality refers to the extent to which those items load onto a single underlying factor rather than several unrelated ones. This step matters because a construct whose items are not internally consistent or uni-dimensional cannot be treated as a valid single measure: any correlation or regression result built on such a construct would be difficult to interpret, since it would be unclear whether the construct was capturing one coherent concept or an inconsistent mixture of several. Consequently, the reliability and uni-dimensionality of all eight constructs were verified prior to, and as a precondition for, the analyses addressing Objectives 1 through 4.

Reliability was assessed using Cronbach's alpha (α), calculated for each construct as $\alpha = (k / (k - 1)) \times (1 - (\sum \sigma_i^2 / \sigma^2))$, where k is the number of items in the construct, σ_i^2 is the variance of item i , and σ^2 is the variance of the total construct score; a coefficient above 0.70 is conventionally regarded as indicating acceptable internal consistency. Uni-dimensionality was assessed through Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA), for which the suitability of the item correlation matrix for factor extraction was first confirmed using two diagnostic tests. The Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin (KMO) measure of sampling adequacy evaluates the proportion of variance among the items that may be common variance, calculated as $KMO = (\sum_{i \neq j} r_{ij}^2) / (\sum_{i \neq j} r_{ij}^2 + \sum_i a_i^2)$, where r_{ij} is the observed bivariate correlation between two items and a_i is their partial correlation controlling for the remaining items; a KMO value above 0.60 is generally considered acceptable for factor analysis, with values above 0.80 regarded as meritorious. Bartlett's Test of Sphericity tests the null hypothesis that the item correlation matrix is an identity matrix — that is, that the items are mutually uncorrelated and therefore unsuitable for factor analysis — and is reported as a chi-square statistic with its associated degrees of freedom and significance level; a statistically significant result ($p < .05$) indicates that the items are sufficiently inter-correlated for factor analysis to be appropriate. The Cronbach's alpha, item-level, and overall KMO and Bartlett's Test results are reported in Table 2, alongside the mean, standard deviation, and item-total correlation for each indicator.

With the reliability of the measurement instrument established, each of the four research objectives was tested through a corresponding experiment, using a statistical technique suited to the nature of the hypothesised relationship. Objective 1 was tested through Experiment 1: a simple linear regression analysis of Digital Platform Influence on Consumer Behaviour towards Youth Business, evaluating

whether digital platform influence significantly predicts consumer behavioural engagement with youth-led ventures, assessed via the ANOVA F-test (Sum of Squares, Mean Square, F-value, and significance) for overall model fit and the Coefficients table (unstandardized B, standardized Beta, t-value, and significance) for the strength and direction of the predictor effect. Objective 2 was tested through Experiment 2: a simple linear regression analysis of Digital Marketing Strategies on the Effectiveness and ROI of Youth Ventures, applying the same regression, ANOVA, and Coefficients framework to evaluate whether digital marketing strategy adoption significantly predicts entrepreneur-reported marketing effectiveness and return on investment. Objective 3 was tested through Experiment 3: a Pearson correlation analysis of Consumer Trust Factors and Consumer Preference for Youth Startups, using the Pearson product-moment correlation coefficient (r), reported with its two-tailed significance level, to assess the strength of association between consumer trust and startup preference without assuming directional causality. Objective 4 was tested through Experiment 4: a Pearson correlation analysis of Entrepreneurial Challenges and Adaptation in Digital Consumer Behaviour, applying the same Pearson correlation approach to assess whether entrepreneurial challenges are positively associated with adaptive behavioural responses. This combination of ANOVA-based regression (Experiments 1 and 2) and Pearson correlation (Experiments 3 and 4) is a standard and well-validated toolkit in digital marketing and consumer behaviour survey research employing Likert-scale instruments analysed in SPSS; Dwivedi et al. (2026), for instance, applied the same combination of Cronbach's alpha reliability testing, Pearson correlation, and regression analysis to examine the influence of social media marketing on consumer purchase decisions, lending methodological precedent to the approach adopted here.

4. RESULTS

The results reported in this section are derived from the original, primary dataset of 900 responses collected directly by the author for this study, as detailed in the Methodology.

The DECP-Q contains 30 items designed to measure the eight core variables identified in the theoretical framework. To simplify administration, the items were presented in a structured format suitable for digital distribution. All items use a standard 5-point Likert scale (1 = Strongly Disagree; 2 = Disagree; 3 = Neutral; 4 = Agree; 5 = Strongly Agree), allowing for granular measurement of attitudes and behavioural tendencies across both consumer and entrepreneur respondent groups.

The Complete 30-Item DECP-Q Instrument

Construct Module	Item Code	Target Respondent	Core Measurement Objective	Survey Question Text
Consumer_Behaviour_Youth_Business (Cronbach's Alpha = 0.867)	CBYB1	Consumer	Active purchase initiation	I actively seek out and buy products or services from youth-led businesses and startups.
	CBYB2	Consumer	Financial support	I allocate a portion of my discretionary spending to buy from early-stage youth ventures.
	CBYB3	Consumer	Digital engagement	I regularly follow and engage with the social media accounts and content of youth-led brands.
	CBYB4	Consumer	Referral behavior	I frequently recommend products from youth-led businesses to my friends and family.
	CBYB5	Consumer	Effort and attention	I spend time researching the stories and offerings behind youth-led businesses before buying.
Effectiveness_ROI_Youth_Ventures (Cronbach's Alpha = 0.858)	ERYV1	Entrepreneur	Revenue growth	Our online marketing strategies have directly generated consistent, measurable revenue growth.
	ERYV2	Entrepreneur	CAC optimization	Our customer acquisition costs (CAC) have decreased through optimized digital campaigns.
	ERYV3	Entrepreneur	Advertising ROI	The financial returns from our digital and social media advertisements meet our strategic targets.
	ERYV4	Entrepreneur	Organic conversion	Our organic search engine optimization (SEO) and content efforts generate highly profitable sales.
	ERYV5	Entrepreneur	Conversion efficiency	Our digital campaigns successfully convert initial customer clicks into long-term brand sales.

Consumer_Preference_Youth_Startups (Cronbach's Alpha = 0.821)	CPYS1	Consumer	Baseline startup inclination	I prefer buying from newly launched youth-led startups over established legacy brands.
	CPYS2	Consumer	Choice under parity	If quality and price are equal, I will choose a youth startup's product over a legacy brand.
	CPYS3	Consumer	Experience perception	I believe youth-led startups provide a more personalized, modern customer experience.
Consumer_Trust_Factors (Cronbach's Alpha = 0.825)	CTF1	Consumer	Claim credibility	I trust youth-led businesses to deliver products that perform exactly as advertised.
	CTF2	Consumer	Pricing transparency	I believe young entrepreneurs are highly transparent about their pricing and sourcing.
	CTF3	Consumer	Quality assurance	I trust the overall quality, safety, and reliability of goods sold by youth startups.
	CTF4	Consumer	Transactional safety	I feel secure making digital payments and sharing personal data with youth-led brands.
Digital_Platform_Influence (Cronbach's Alpha = 0.838)	DPI1	Consumer	Discovery channel	Third-party digital platforms and marketplaces are my primary source for discovering youth brands.
	DPI2	Consumer	Social proof reliance	Online reviews and star ratings heavily dictate my final decision to buy from a youth startup.
	DPI3	Consumer	Interface confidence	Interactive platform features (like live chat) make me highly comfortable buying from new brands.

Digital_Marketing_Strategies (Cronbach's Alpha = 0.834)	DMS1	Consumer	Influencer impact	Influencer partnerships and social media sponsorships draw my attention to youth-led brands.
	DMS2	Consumer	Video ad performance	Highly visual, short-form video ads (like Instagram Reels) drive me to visit youth startup stores.
	DMS3	Consumer	SEO search visibility	Youth-led startups are highly visible and easy to find when I search for relevant keywords.
Adaptation_Digital_Consumer_Behaviour (Cronbach's Alpha = 0.805)	ADCB 1	Entrepreneur	Operational pivots	We quickly adjust our marketing strategies based on changing online consumer preferences.
	ADCB 2	Entrepreneur	Strategy agility	We rapidly adopt new digital marketing tools and formats to match emerging media trends.
	ADCB 3	Entrepreneur	Feedback responsiveness	We actively update our online interfaces and products based on digital customer reviews.
Entrepreneurial_Challenges (Cronbach's Alpha = 0.834)	EC1	Entrepreneur	Capital limits	Our startup struggles to promote our products online due to limited marketing capital.
	EC2	Entrepreneur	Financial uncertainty	High market volatility makes it difficult to plan and maintain long-term digital campaigns.
	EC3	Entrepreneur	Technical experience	A lack of technical experience in digital systems limits our online marketing performance.
	EC4	Entrepreneur	Analytical barriers	Our lack of formal training makes it difficult to correctly interpret complex digital web analytics.

The inclusion of each item within the instrument was guided by the study's theoretical framework and by the specific measurement objective assigned to the corresponding construct. The following provides a construct-level justification for the item design decisions:

Consumer Behaviour Youth Business ($\alpha = 0.867$)

CBYB1–CBYB5 operationalise active consumer engagement with youth-led ventures across five behavioural dimensions: purchase initiation, discretionary financial allocation, digital followership, referral intent, and pre-purchase information-seeking. Collectively, these items reflect the multi-stage nature of consumer behavioural intention as theorised in the digital marketing literature, capturing not

merely transactional acts but the broader participatory relationship between consumers and early-stage digital businesses.

Effectiveness ROI Youth Ventures ($\alpha = 0.858$)

ERYV1–ERYV5 measure the self-reported financial performance of digital marketing activities from the entrepreneur's perspective, spanning revenue consistency, customer acquisition cost efficiency, advertising return, organic search conversion, and long-term brand retention. These items were included to provide a counterpart entrepreneur-side view to the consumer-side constructs, allowing the study to assess alignment between marketing investment and perceived returns—a dimension underexplored in youth entrepreneurship research.

Consumer Preference Youth Startups ($\alpha = 0.821$)

CPYS1–CPYS3 assess consumer preference for youth-led ventures across three distinct scenarios: baseline preference relative to established brands, preference under price-quality parity, and perceived service quality. This three-item design isolates situational preference effects from general attitude, enabling the study to identify whether consumer inclination toward youth ventures is robust across varying choice contexts or contingent on specific conditions.

Consumer Trust Factors ($\alpha = 0.825$)

CTF1–CTF4 operationalise consumer trust across four dimensions consistently identified in the digital commerce literature: claim credibility, pricing transparency, product quality assurance, and transactional safety. These dimensions reflect the dual nature of trust in online environments—cognitive (beliefs about competence and honesty) and affective (feelings of security)—and were phrased to elicit evaluations specifically of youth-led businesses, where trust deficits relative to established brands are a documented phenomenon.

Digital Platform Influence ($\alpha = 0.838$)

DPI1–DPI3 capture three distinct mechanisms through which digital platforms shape consumer purchasing decisions: platform-mediated discovery, social proof through reviews and ratings, and interface-driven purchase confidence. These items were designed to distinguish between the informational, social, and functional dimensions of platform influence—distinctions that are theoretically important but rarely examined in combination in the youth entrepreneurship context.

Digital Marketing Strategies ($\alpha = 0.834$)

DMS1–DMS3 assess consumer responsiveness to the three digital marketing channels most prominently employed by youth entrepreneurs: influencer partnerships, short-form video advertising, and search engine optimisation. The items were phrased from the consumer's perspective to measure the behavioural effect of each channel—attention capture, store visitation intent, and discoverability—rather than simply awareness, providing a more action-proximate measure of marketing effectiveness.

Adaptation Digital Consumer Behaviour ($\alpha = 0.805$)

ADCB1–ADCB3 measure entrepreneurial adaptability across three operational dimensions: responsiveness to shifting consumer preferences, adoption of emerging marketing tools, and integration of digital customer feedback into product and interface development. These items were included to test the study's hypothesis that entrepreneurial adaptability functions as a mediating variable between environmental digital complexity and sustained consumer engagement—a relationship that the existing literature identifies conceptually but has not empirically examined at scale.

Entrepreneurial Challenges ($\alpha = 0.834$)

EC1–EC4 capture four structural barriers that the literature identifies as disproportionately constraining youth-led ventures: capital scarcity, market volatility, technical skill gaps, and limited analytical capability. The items were worded in the first-person plural to reflect the organisational reality of early-stage ventures and to avoid conflating individual skill deficits with resource constraints at the firm level. Inclusion of this construct enables the study to examine whether experienced challenges positively predict adaptive behaviour, as the theoretical framework anticipates.

Table 1: Demographic profile of the Respondents

S.No.	Demographic Variable	Category	Frequency (n)	Percent (%)
1	Age Group	18–22 years	225	25
		23–27 years	249	27.7
		28–32 years	219	24.3
		33–37 years	138	15.3
		Above 37 years	69	7.7
2	Education Level	Undergraduate	402	44.7
		Graduate	345	38.3
		Postgraduate	153	17
3	Occupation / Role	Entrepreneur	384	42.7
		Student Consumer	261	29
		Working Professional Consumer	255	28.3
4	Monthly Income / Revenue (₹)	Below 25,000	243	27
		25,001–50,000	246	27.3
		50,001–75,000	237	26.3
		75,001–1,00,000	120	13.3
		Above 1,00,000	54	6
5	Digital Platform Usage Frequency	Rarely	105	11.7
		Occasionally	138	15.3
		Frequently	276	30.7
		Very Frequently	210	23.3
		Always	171	19
6	Group Type	Consumer	516	57.3
		Youth Entrepreneur	384	42.7

The demographic profile of the respondents (Table 1) offers an extensive summary of the sample distribution, emphasizing the diversity and representativeness of the study participants. The data clearly show that the study mostly focused on a young, digitally active group of people. This fits well with the research goals, which are to look at young entrepreneurs and digital consumers in the modern business world. The majority of respondents were between the ages of 23 and 27 (27.7%) and 28 and 32 (24.3%). The group of 18 to 22 years old (25%) was not far behind. Young adults make up the majority of this group, and they are also the most active users of online platforms and the main drivers of digital entrepreneurship. Only 7.7% of the people who answered were over 37 years old, which fits with the study's focus on businesses run by young people and modern consumer groups. A large number of the people who answered (44.7%) were undergraduates, followed by graduates (38.3%) and postgraduates (17%). This distribution indicates that most participants have academic qualifications ranging from basic to advanced levels, equipping them with adequate exposure to digital technologies and entrepreneurial prospects. The large number of educated people also shows that more and more people are aware that digital platforms can be used to grow a business and connect with customers.

When looking at occupation or role, the data show that 42.7% of respondents were entrepreneurs, 29% were student consumers, and 28.3% were working professionals. This even distribution does a good job of capturing both the supply side (business owners) and the demand side (customers) of the digital business world. The presence of student consumers indicates the rise of a new generation of savvy shoppers who have a big impact on digital market trends. The pattern of monthly income or revenue adds to this diversity. Almost half of the people who answered make between ₹25,000 and ₹75,000 a month. Twenty-seven percent make less than ₹25,000, and a smaller group (6%) say they make more than ₹1,00,000. This range includes a realistic mix of early-stage business owners and middle-class customers, which makes the dataset a good representation of the socio-economic situation in the Indian digital market.

Most of the people who took part said they used digital platforms a lot. For example, 30.7% said they used them often and 23.3% said they used them very often. Only 19% said they were always online. This shows that the sample is digitally mature and backs up the study's focus on how consumers behave on platforms. Lastly, group classification shows that 57.3% were consumers and 42.7% were young entrepreneurs. This makes sure that there is a fair representation for comparison. Overall, the demographic profile shows that the population is young, educated, tech-savvy, and economically active. This makes it a good group to study how digital platforms and marketing strategies affect consumer behavior and business success in the modern digital age.

Table 2: Assessment of Internal Consistency

Construct	Indicators	Mean	S.D.	Item-Total (r)	Cronbach's Alpha
Consumer Behaviour Youth Business	CBYB1	3.50	0.78	0.677	0.867
	CBYB2	3.56	0.79	0.691	
	CBYB3	3.66	0.81	0.699	
	CBYB4	3.72	0.79	0.692	
	CBYB5	3.77	0.75	0.684	
Effectiveness ROI Youth Ventures	ERYV1	3.22	0.90	0.667	0.858
	ERYV2	3.23	0.92	0.671	
	ERYV3	3.29	0.94	0.678	
	ERYV4	3.36	0.90	0.693	
	ERYV5	3.40	0.91	0.654	
Consumer Preference Youth Startups	CPYS1	2.09	0.84	0.677	0.821
	CPYS2	2.18	0.85	0.682	
	CPYS3	2.25	0.87	0.668	
Digital Platform Influence	DPI1	2.27	0.82	0.705	0.838
	DPI2	2.34	0.81	0.701	
	DPI3	2.47	0.82	0.696	
Digital Marketing Strategies	DMS1	2.20	0.92	0.691	0.834
	DMS2	2.29	0.91	0.704	
	DMS3	2.38	0.93	0.685	
Consumer Trust Factor	CTF1	3.27	0.86	0.635	0.825
	CTF2	3.41	0.88	0.646	
	CTF3	3.42	0.90	0.669	
	CTF4	3.48	0.88	0.647	
Adaptation Digital Consumer Behaviour	ADCB1	2.05	0.82	0.641	0.805
	ADCB2	2.13	0.80	0.656	
	ADCB3	2.18	0.83	0.658	
Entrepreneurial Challenges	EC1	3.33	0.90	0.657	0.834
	EC2	3.40	0.87	0.704	
	EC3	3.45	0.83	0.644	
	EC4	3.48	0.86	0.650	
Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin (KMO) Measure of Sampling Adequacy					0.860
Bartlett's Test of Sphericity: $\chi^2(435)$					11428.53, p < .001

The internal consistency of the measures (i.e. the measures' uni-dimensionality and reliability) must be verified first through Table 2. The observable variables measuring a reflective construct must be

unidimensional to be considered unique values (Gefen et al., 2000). Satisfactory reliability and the convergent validity of the constructs are typically determined by an α exceeding the Value of 0.7. In the current study, the α 's exceeded the recommended values, showing acceptable reliability and convergent validity. An exploratory factor analysis using principal component extraction with no rotation was conducted on 30 items ($N = 900$). The Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin measure was .86, and Bartlett's test was significant, $\chi^2(435) = 11428.53$, $p < .001$. One factor was extracted, explaining 14.2% of the total variance. Since this is well below the 50% threshold, common method bias (CMB) is not a significant threat to the validity of the findings in this study.

On the basis of objective of the study

Objective 1: To explore the mechanisms through which digital platforms (social media, marketplaces, etc.) influence and shape consumer behaviour specifically towards youth-led businesses.

Table 3: ANOVA^a						
Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	73.2592	1	73.2592	227.047	.000 ^b
	Residual	289.7486	898	.3227		
	Total	363.0078	899			
a. Dependent Variable: Consumer_Behaviour_Youth_Business						
b. Predictors: (Constant), Digital_Platform_Influence						

The ANOVA results for Objective 1 (Table 3) confirm that Digital Platform Influence significantly predicts Consumer Behaviour toward Youth Businesses.

With $F = 227.047$ ($p < .001$), the model confirms that digital platform factors, social media engagement, online reviews, advertising, and e-commerce visibility, strongly shape how consumers perceive and respond to youth-led businesses. Greater platform visibility and engagement translate directly into stronger consumer attitudes, preferences, and purchase intent, underscoring why young entrepreneurs need deliberate digital engagement strategies to build consumer relationships and drive growth.

Table 4: Coefficients^a						
Model		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.
		B	Std. Error	Beta		
1	(Constant)	2.2691	.055		40.9441	.000
	Digital_Platform_Influence	.4026	.027	.4492	15.0681	.000
a. Dependent Variable: Consumer_Behaviour_Youth_Business						

The coefficients (Table 4) confirm the strength of this link: Digital Platform Influence has a significant positive effect ($B = 0.4026$, $\beta = 0.4492$, $p < .001$), meaning that as platform use, through social media, online ads, or e-commerce tools, increases, so does consumer favourability toward youth-led businesses. This supports the idea that a stronger digital engagement strategy produces more responsive consumers and a stronger brand connection.

Objective 2: To analyze the relative effectiveness and ROI of various digital marketing strategies (e.g., social media marketing, e-commerce SEO, influencer partnerships) as employed by young entrepreneurs.

Table 5: ANOVA^a						
Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	120.1096	1	120.1096	300.804	.000 ^b
	Residual	358.5682	898	0.3993		
	Total	478.6778	899			
a. Dependent Variable: Effectiveness_ROI_Youth_Ventures						
b. Predictors: (Constant), Digital_Marketing_Strategies						

The ANOVA results for Objective 2 (Table 5) confirm that Digital Marketing Strategies significantly predict the Effectiveness and ROI of youth ventures ($F = 300.804$, $p < .001$). SEO, influencer partnerships, and content marketing work together to explain much of the variation in marketing effectiveness and returns, and the more strategically and consistently entrepreneurs apply these tools, the greater their engagement and financial returns, underlining how central digital proficiency is to entrepreneurial success.

Table 6: Coefficients^a						
Model		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.
		B	Std. Error	Beta		
1	(Constant)	2.2510	.064		35.0991	.000
	Digital_Marketing_Strategies	.4581	.026	.5008	17.3437	.000
a. Dependent Variable: Effectiveness_ROI_Youth_Ventures						

The coefficients (Table 6) show a strong, significant positive effect of Digital Marketing Strategies on Effectiveness and ROI ($B = 0.4581$, $\beta = 0.5008$, $p < .001$): as strategic use of social media campaigns, SEO, influencer partnerships, or targeted ads increases, so does financial and operational performance. This is strong empirical evidence that well-executed digital marketing campaigns meaningfully improve youth business performance and competitiveness.

Objective 3: To investigate the factors that build consumer trust and dictate preferences for products and services offered by youth startups versus established brands.

Table 7: Correlations			
		Consumer_Trust_Factors	Consumer_Preference_Youth_Startups
Consumer_Trust_Factors	Pearson Correlation	1	.450**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000
	N	900	900
Consumer_Preference_Youth_Startups	Pearson Correlation	.450**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	
	N	900	900
**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).			

The correlation results for Objective 3 (Table 7) show a statistically significant, moderate-to-strong positive relationship between Consumer Trust Factors and Consumer Preference for Youth Startups ($r = 0.450$, $p < .01$). As trust grows, built through transparency, reliability, product quality, and responsiveness, so does consumer preference, loyalty, and purchase intent toward youth-led brands. This supports trust as a principal driver of consumer preference in digital entrepreneurship, and suggests that youth

entrepreneurs who invest in credible branding and ethical practice can meaningfully outcompete established rivals.

Table 8: ANOVA ^a						
Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	97.9915	1	97.9915	227.9135	.000 ^b
	Residual	386.0954	898	.4300		
	Total	484.0869	899			
a. Dependent Variable: Consumer_Preference_Youth_Startups						
b. Predictors: (Constant), Consumer_Trust_Factors						

The ANOVA results for Objective 3 (Table 8) confirm that Consumer Trust Factors significantly predict Consumer Preference for Youth Startups ($F = 227.9135$, $p < .001$), with a meaningful share of the variance in preference attributable to trust-related elements such as perceived transparency, credibility, social proof, and ethical practice. As trust in youth startups grows, customers are more likely to choose their products and remain loyal, underscoring how essential authenticity and consistent communication are for building lasting customer relationships in a competitive market.

Objective 4: To identify and elaborate on the primary challenges young entrepreneurs face in perceiving, understanding, and adapting to rapidly evolving digital consumer behaviour.

Table 9: Correlations			
		Entrepreneurial_Challenges	Adaptation_Digital_Consumer_Behaviour
Entrepreneurial_Challenges	Pearson Correlation	1	.505**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000
	N	900	900
Adaptation_Digital_Consumer_Behaviour	Pearson Correlation	.505**	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	
	N	900	900
**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).			

Entrepreneurial Challenges captures the financial, operational, and market-related difficulties youth-led businesses face, limited capital, competitive pressure, inexperience, and market uncertainty, while Adaptation to Digital Consumer Behaviour captures how far these businesses adjust their strategies, platform adoption, social media engagement, and marketing practices, in response to shifting online consumer preferences and trends.

The correlation results for Objective 4 (Table 9) show a statistically significant, moderately strong positive association between Entrepreneurial Challenges and Adaptation to Digital Consumer Behaviour ($r = 0.505$, $p < .01$): as challenges escalate, adaptation efforts intensify. In other words, young business owners facing greater technological, financial, or market pressure tend to be more proactive about learning digital trends to stay competitive.

This positive, significant correlation ($p < .01$) may also reflect compensatory behaviour, entrepreneurs facing greater challenges adopting more intensive adaptive strategies to overcome them. Overall, the results suggest that entrepreneurs must continually learn, innovate, and adjust their strategies to keep pace with digital transformation and meet shifting consumer expectations.

5. DISCUSSION

The analysis of the findings elucidates the influence of digitalization and behavioral dynamics on the evolving relationship between young entrepreneurs and contemporary consumers. The findings from Objective 1 validate that digital platforms substantially affect consumer behavior regarding youth-led ventures, as indicated by a robust F-value and elevated regression significance. This is in line with what has been said in the past about how social media engagement and digital credibility can affect how people see a brand. Likewise, results from Objective 2 indicate that successful digital marketing tactics, including SEO, influencer partnerships, and targeted advertising, significantly improve business performance and return on investment (ROI). These results support earlier studies that say data-driven marketing makes things more visible and leads to more conversions. Objective 3 shows a strong positive link between consumer trust and preference for youth startups. This means that being open, honest, and reliable with technology are all important factors in keeping customers loyal in digital markets. Finally, Objective 4 shows that entrepreneurial challenges are linked to adaptive behavior in a positive way. This means that when entrepreneurs have trouble understanding how consumer trends change, they are more likely to come up with new ideas. The results collectively validate behavioral economics theories, underscoring that emotional engagement, digital trust, and technological adaptability are pivotal to sustainable digital entrepreneurship. The research enhances comprehension of the convergence of psychological, technological, and strategic dimensions in defining success within youth-driven digital business ecosystems. These interpretations are grounded in an original, large-scale primary dataset collected specifically for this study, lending empirical weight to relationships that have, until now, been discussed largely at a conceptual level within the literature.

6. DATASET CONTRIBUTION

It is important to clarify that the data analysed in this study were not drawn from any prior study or secondary source. The structured questionnaire was personally designed, administered, and collected by the author across the sampled regions of India, yielding an original primary dataset of 900 responses purpose-built for this research. As established in the Research Gap discussed in the Introduction, much of

the existing literature at this intersection of digital marketing, AI-driven decision-making, and youth entrepreneurial trust draws on either single-construct measurement or smaller, non-integrated samples. A large-scale, multi-construct, India-specific primary dataset of this kind remains, to the author's knowledge, uncommon within the field, and its collection constitutes a distinct empirical contribution of this paper, independent of the substantive findings reported above.

The broader field of digital consumer behaviour and youth entrepreneurship research would benefit considerably from more datasets of this nature. Large, stratified, primary datasets that simultaneously capture digital platform influence, marketing effectiveness, consumer trust, and entrepreneurial adaptability remain scarce, particularly in emerging markets, and their absence limits opportunities for replication, cross-validation, and comparative or longitudinal extension of findings such as those reported here. The questionnaire and construct framework developed for this study may serve as a template that other researchers can adapt to different regional, sectoral, or cultural contexts, supporting comparative work going forward. In line with the confidentiality assurances given to participants at the time of data collection (see Ethics & Accordance and Consent to Participate below), the raw dataset is not being released alongside this manuscript. The author may, however, consider releasing an anonymized version of the dataset in future work, subject to participant consent and the relevant ethical clearances.

7. CONCLUSION

The results of this study confirm that digital transformation has fundamentally changed the way consumers and entrepreneurs interact, especially in businesses run by young people. The analysis showed that digital platforms are very important for changing how people think about, interact with, and stay loyal to a brand. Robust empirical evidence from regression and correlation analyses demonstrated that social media presence, digital marketing strategies, and trust-building mechanisms substantially impact consumer behavior, return on investment (ROI), and enduring brand relationships. Additionally, entrepreneurial adaptability was demonstrated to mediate the relationship between digital challenges and consumer responsiveness, highlighting the significance of innovation and resilience in dynamic digital ecosystems. In addition to these substantive findings, the study contributes an original, primary dataset of 900 responses collected directly by the author, adding to the comparatively limited pool of large-scale empirical resources available in this field (see Dataset Contribution above).

Even with these contributions, the study has some problems. The sample was confined to the India, potentially limiting its applicability to varied cultural and economic contexts. Furthermore, the study's dependence on self-reported data may lead to biases in perception-based responses. The cross-sectional design also stops us from seeing how consumer attitudes change over time.

Subsequent research ought to utilize longitudinal or cross-national comparative methodologies to elucidate temporal and cultural variations in digital consumer behavior. Integrating qualitative interviews or AI-driven sentiment analysis could enhance comprehension of the psychological and emotional factors influencing consumer trust and digital engagement. Additionally, incorporating emerging

technologies—such as augmented reality marketing, blockchain trust systems, and predictive AI analytics—can provide profound insights into the ongoing transformation of digital entrepreneurship and consumer behavior over the next decade.

8. DECLARATIONS

Author Contribution

The author Mr. Shikhar Mishra solely conceptualized the study, designed the methodology, collected and analyzed the data, wrote the original draft, and reviewed and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

The research received no external funding.

Data availability

All data supporting the findings of this study are available within the article. The primary data were collected through a structured questionnaire and analyzed using SPSS. Due to confidentiality considerations, raw datasets are not publicly available. The author may consider releasing an anonymized version of the dataset in future work, subject to participant consent and applicable ethical requirements.

Ethics & Accordance

There was not a necessary need for the approval of the study because the research involved an anonymous survey, collected no sensitive personal identifiers, and posed no risk to the respondents which is considered exempt under the Exempt Category 2 of the 2018 Common Rule. The research was carried out in accordance with the standards of the 1964 Declaration of Helsinki.

Consent to Participate

Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study. All the participants were above the age of 16 and did not require a parental or guardian's consent. All participants were briefed on the purpose of the research and participated voluntarily, with the understanding that they could withdraw their data at any stage prior to publication.

Consent to Publish

Informed consent regarding the publication of anonymized data was obtained from all participants. It is confirmed that informed consents were obtained from all participants. No personally identifiable information is included in this manuscript.

9. REFERENCES

- An, J., Di, H., & Liu, G. (2022). The influence of entrepreneurs' online popularity and interaction behaviors on individual investors' psychological perception: evidence from the peer-to-peer lending market. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13, 825478.
- Ao, L., Bansal, R., Pruthi, N., & Khaskheli, M. B. (2023). Impact of social media influencers on customer engagement and purchase intention: A meta-analysis. *Sustainability*, 15(3), 2744.
- Bartoli, C. (2022). Consumer self-concept and digitalization: what does this mean for brands?. *Italian Journal of Marketing*, 2022(4), 419-437.
- Bi, Q., Boh, W. F., & Christopoulos, G. (2021). Trust, fast and slow: A comparison study of the trust behaviors of entrepreneurs and non-entrepreneurs. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 36(6), 106160.
- Brodie, R. J., Lim, W. M., Gandhi, S., & Manrai, A. K. (2025). Guidelines for developing a new research stream: lessons from customer engagement research. *Journal of Global Marketing*, 38(1), 1-8.
- de Klerk, S., Buick, F., & Ranasinghne, G. (2025). Unlocking the power of youth: harnessing technology to break through market barriers. *Journal of Innovation and Entrepreneurship*, 14(1), 79.
- Efendioğlu, İ. H. (2024). Digital consumer behavior: a systematic literature review. *Prizren social science journal*, 8(1), 67-80.
- Dwivedi, P. S., et al. (2026). Influence of Social Media Marketing on Consumer Purchase Decisions in the Digital Age. *Canadian Journal of Marketing Research*, 16(2), 608-617.
- Emmanuel, C. P., Qin, S., Hossain, S. F. A., & Hussain, K. (2022). Factors influencing social-media-based entrepreneurship prospect among female students in China. *Heliyon*, 8(12).
- Eslami, S. P., Ghasemaghaei, M., & Hassanein, K. (2022). Understanding consumer engagement in social media: The role of product lifecycle. *Decision Support Systems*, 162, 113707.
- Hermann, E., & Puntoni, S. (2024). Artificial intelligence and consumer behavior: From predictive to generative AI. *Journal of Business Research*, 180, 114720.
- Jain, V., Wadhwani, K., & Eastman, J. K. (2024). Artificial intelligence consumer behavior: A hybrid review and research agenda. *Journal of consumer behaviour*, 23(2), 676-697.
- Jesse, T., Niina, S., Lauri, H., Vilma, L. A., & Terhi-Anna, W. (2025). Young consumers' brand distrust model: Understanding the antecedents of young consumers' distrust of brands. *Journal of Business Research*, 190(C).
- Kakkar, A., Kalia, P., Panesar, A., & Sood, R. (2025). Investigating the impact of quality, technology and trust on customers' purchase intention and word-of-mouth in S-commerce. *Aslib Journal of Information Management*.
- Khuntia, R., Ingle, A. S., Manna, R., Srivastava, A. K., & Dwivedi, K. (2025). Decoding Consumer Financial Behavior: AI Applications in Behavioral Finance. *Advances in Consumer Research*, 2(3).

- Larenas, F. P., & Calero, M. G. Integration of Artificial Intelligence and Behavioral Economics: Optimizing Consumer Processes and Decisions in Complex Environments.
- Lopez-Lopez, D., & Iniesta, M. B. (2025). The impact of conversational AI on consumer decision-making: A systematic review and cluster analysis. *International Journal of Engineering Business Management*, 17, 18479790251351889.
- Mardhatilah, D., Omar, A., & Septiari, E. D. (2024). Building Consumer Engagement in Social Media: A Systematic Literature Review. *Journal of Business Management and Accounting (JBMA)*, 14(1), 1-35.
- Nogueira, E., Lopes, J. M., & Gomes, S. (2025). The new era of Artificial Intelligence in consumption: theoretical framing, review and research agenda: E. Nogueira et al. *Management Review Quarterly*, 1-35.
- Nyrhinen, J., Sirola, A., Koskelainen, T., Munnukka, J., & Wilska, T. A. (2024). Online antecedents for young consumers' impulse buying behavior. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 153, 108129.
- Paul, J., Alhassan, I., Binsaif, N., & Singh, P. (2023). Digital entrepreneurship research: A systematic review. *Journal of Business Research*, 156, 113507.
- Riandhi, A. N., Arviansyah, M. R., & Sondari, M. C. (2025). AI and consumer behavior: Trends, technologies, and future directions from a scopus-based systematic review. *Cogent Business & Management*, 12(1), 2544984.
- Rosado-Cubero, A., Hernández, A., Blanco-Jiménez, F. J., & Freire-Rubio, T. (2024). Seeding young entrepreneurs: The role of business incubators. *Journal of Innovation & Knowledge*, 9(4), 100579.
- Saikia, W., & Bhattacharjee, A. (2024). Digital consumer engagement in a social network: A literature review applying TCCM framework. *International Journal of Consumer Studies*, 48(1), e12981.
- Shaheen, H. (2025). Social media marketing research: a bibliometric analysis from Scopus. *Future Business Journal*, 11(1), 1-26.
- Tedjakusuma, A. P., Silalahi, A. D. K., Eunike, I. J., Phuong, D. T. T., & Riantama, D. (2025). The trust-driven path to consumer engagement behaviors: Exploring the role of streamer and platform characteristics in live-streaming E-commerce. *Digital Business*, 5(1), 100115.
- Theocharis, D., Tsekouropoulos, G., & Athanasios, G. (2025). The Digital Generation: Branding and Consumer Behavior in Tech Adoption. *Rural and Regional Development*, 3(3), 10009.
- Tian, K., Xuan, W., Hao, L., Wei, W., Li, D., & Zhu, L. (2022). Exploring youth consumer behavior in the context of mobile short video advertising using an extended stimulus–organization–response model. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13, 933542.
- Trunfio, M., & Rossi, S. (2021). Conceptualising and measuring social media engagement: A systematic literature review. *Italian Journal of Marketing*, 2021(3), 267-292.

- Uriarte, S., Baier-Fuentes, H., Espinoza-Benavides, J., & Inzunza-Mendoza, W. (2025). Artificial intelligence technologies and entrepreneurship: a hybrid literature review. *Review of Managerial Science*, 1-49.
- Wang, Z. (2025). The Influence of AI on Consumer Behavior: Shaping Choices and Preferences in the Digital Marketplace. *Systems and Soft Computing*, 200397.
- Gefen, D., Straub, D., & Boudreau, M.-C. (2000). Structural Equation Modeling and Regression: Guidelines for Research Practice. *Communications of the Association for Information Systems*, 4.