

An Evaluation of the Socio-Economic Impacts of Unified Payments Interface (UPI) as a Financial Innovation in India

Medhansh Jain
medhanshjain9e31@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Financial innovation has transformed payment systems by improving efficiency, accessibility and financial inclusion through digital technologies. Amongst these innovations, India's Unified Payments Interface (UPI) has emerged as one of the world's most influential real-time payment systems, fundamentally reshaping the country's digital payment landscape. Drawing on theories of financial innovation, digital payment systems, and innovation adoption, the paper first establishes the conceptual foundations of financial innovation and then examines UPI as a case study. As part of this, the paper evaluates UPI's contribution to financial inclusion, the informal economy and consumer payment behavior, while critically assessing challenges, including the digital divide, uneven digital literacy and cybersecurity concerns. The paper argues that UPI is a highly successful financial innovation, characterized primarily by process innovation and supported by institutional innovation, that has substantially transformed India's payment ecosystem and expanded participation in the formal financial system. That said, its wider social and economic impact remains uneven due to persistent structural and institutional constraints. The finding suggests that technological innovation alone cannot achieve inclusive socio-economic transformation without complementary investments in digital infrastructure, financial literacy and supportive public policy.

Keywords: Financial Innovation, Unified Payments Interface (UPI), Digital Payments, Financial Inclusion, Socio-Economic Transformation

INTRODUCTION

Is UPI the greatest financial innovation India has ever seen?

Financial innovation is the development and adoption of new financial products, processes or institutional arrangements that improve efficiency and cost-effectiveness, thereby reshaping modern finance (Chen, 2021). The most common example of financial innovation is digital payment. This has revolutionized the payment ecosystem – tasks such as payments, lending and investment services are now handled quickly through mobile payments, real-time transfer systems and platform-based financial services. There has also been a significant increase in the number of digital payment companies and fintech providers. Thus, the world is rapidly shifting from cash-based transactions to digital payment systems. A recent study by the Capgemini Research Institute (2026) reports that the number of worldwide non-cash transactions was 1,438.8 billion in 2023. This number is forecasted to reach 3540.3 billion in 2029.

India has emerged as one of the world's fastest-growing digital payment markets. A major reason for this is its strong policy support and initiatives, which are increasing smartphone and internet penetration. This has significantly reduced cash dependency. A central innovation within India's digital payment system is the Unified Payments Interface (UPI). It is an instant payment system developed by the National Payments Corporation of India (NPCI), which allows you to transfer money immediately between any two bank accounts. It has many unique features, such as 24*7 services, multiple bank accounts in one application, 2-factor authentication, QR code payments and more (NPCI, 2025). Thus, UPI quickly gained domestic and international attention. A significant limitation of existing studies is that they focus on technological features and adoption trends. They do not sufficiently evaluate broader impacts such as financial inclusion, behavioral change and effects on informal economic sectors. This creates a need for a more holistic assessment that connects theory with real-world outcomes. The research question for this paper is **“To what extent has the Unified Payments Interface (UPI), as a financial innovation, contributed to socio-economic transformation in India?”**

To address the research question, this paper adopts a qualitative, literature-based case study approach, using UPI as a single case to evaluate the relationship between financial innovation and socio-economic transformation in India. The study draws exclusively on secondary research, including peer-reviewed academic literature; publications from institutions such as the Reserve Bank of India (RBI), the National Payments Corporation of India (NPCI), the Bank for International Settlements (BIS), and the World Bank; government publications; and selected industry sources. Sources were selected based on their relevance to the research question, recency, and credibility, with particular attention to evidence on UPI adoption, financial inclusion, informal economic activity, and consumer payment behavior. A case-study approach is appropriate because the research seeks to evaluate not only the scale of UPI adoption, but also its wider socio-economic effects within India's specific institutional and economic context. The evidence is therefore synthesized thematically to assess both UPI's contributions and the structural factors that continue to limit its impact.

LITERATURE REVIEW: FINANCIAL INNOVATION IN DIGITAL PAYMENT SYSTEMS

Financial Innovation

Innovation involves transforming creative concepts into outcomes that improve efficiency and effectiveness (Jain, 2023). Classical economic perspectives view innovation as a process that drives efficiency and market evolution. In 1942, Joseph Schumpeter wrote the theory of creative destruction, in which he describes innovation as the driving force of creative destruction, where creative destruction involves the introduction of new ideas, products and technologies that replace existing ones (Kopp, 2023). Taking this to the next level, Clayton Christensen introduced the concept of disruptive innovation. He explained that “Disruptive Innovation describes a process by which a product or service takes root in simple applications at the bottom of the market-typically by being less expensive and more accessible - and then relentlessly moves upmarket, eventually displacing established competitors” (Christensen Institute, 2025). These theories both highlight that innovation improves market functioning.

Financial innovation broadly refers to the development of new or significantly improved financial products, services, processes, or institutional arrangements that alter how financial activities are conducted. Within this broader concept, different forms of innovation can be distinguished. Product innovation involves the introduction of new financial products or instruments, while process innovation changes the mechanisms through which financial services are delivered or transactions are conducted. Institutional innovation, by contrast, refers to changes in the organizational, infrastructural or regulatory arrangements through which financial systems operate.

UPI should therefore be understood primarily as a financial innovation, rather than treating "financial innovation" and "institutional innovation" as interchangeable concepts. More specifically, UPI exhibits characteristics of process innovation because it introduced a new interoperable mechanism for conducting real-time bank-to-bank payments. It also has institutional dimensions because its functioning depends upon shared payment infrastructure, participation by multiple banks and fintech platforms and coordination through NPCI and India's regulatory framework. This distinction allows the paper to examine UPI as a financial innovation while recognizing the specific processes and institutional mechanisms by which it operates.

Evolution of Payment Systems

Payment systems have evolved significantly over time. Traditionally, economies relied on cash-based payment systems where currency was the primary medium of exchange. Metal coins were introduced in 600 BCE in Lydia, while paper money was introduced in China during the Tang dynasty (618-907 CE) (Arizu, 2024). While paper money is simple and widely accepted, it has many limitations. These include being subject to inflation, risk of theft, lack of automation, uncertainty and use in illegal transactions, etc. As economies modernized, banking systems expanded. The 1950s saw the emergence of credit cards, followed by debit cards in the 1970s (Arizu, 2024). This enabled transactions through banking networks

and POS terminals. This reduced the need for physical cash. However, it involved transaction fees and procedural delays. With the rapid advancement in digital technology, digital payments have evolved. Technologies such as mobile connectivity, cloud computing and application programming interfaces (APIs) play a crucial role in enabling this – mobile connectivity helps to initiate transactions anytime; cloud computing allows payment providers to process transactions, store sensitive data and scale services using virtual resources (Prajapati, 2023); APIs act as connectors among apps, bank and payment networks and provide convenience by enabling consumers to interact with money more quickly and intelligently (Robert, 2025). Recent digital payment systems differ from earlier ones. Traditional systems relied on batch processing, which could take many hours or days to settle. Modern real-time systems process transactions within seconds and operate 24*7 across connected financial platforms. Real-time digital payment systems represent the most advanced stage in the evolution of payment mechanisms, thereby justifying a focus on the Unified Payments Interface (UPI) as a contemporary example of this transformation.

Adoption of Innovations

While technological factors play a very important role in enabling innovation, the adoption of these innovations and the pace at which consumers adopt them still depend on a broader set of factors. These are technological, institutional and demand-side considerations. Technology drivers are the foundation, as advancements in mobile technology and digital infrastructure enable new payment solutions. Consumers must possess digital awareness to use mobile technologies effectively, rather than merely having access to them. In addition, the availability of reliable digital infrastructure is essential to ensure that users can easily access, download and utilize these technologies (Ramayanti et al., 2023). From an institution-driving perspective, for example, governments and regulatory bodies play a role in promoting innovation, particularly in emerging economies, where policy interventions tend to accelerate adoption. This signals a lot of credibility. Simultaneously, demand-side factors affect the success of financial innovations, as consumers prefer to adopt innovations that offer high speed, convenience and low transaction costs (Ho et al., 2025). These drivers do not work in isolation; rather, they reinforce each other. Financial institutions tend to succeed when technological capabilities, regulatory backing, and consumer preferences align.

Digital Payment Systems as Financial Innovations

A closer examination of digital payment systems as a financial innovation suggests that existing literature largely frames their impacts in terms of efficiency gains, financial inclusion and economic formalization. Digital systems help to reduce transaction costs by removing physical infrastructure, increase speed through real-time processing and improve transparency by making immaculate digital records (Bhatia, 2026). The literature also suggests that such systems can expand financial inclusion by enabling previously unbanked or underbanked populations to access formal financial services, thereby integrating a large segment of the population into this ecosystem. This can be done through mobile banking, fintech banks and neo banks (World Bank Group, 2025). Additionally, digital payment systems are often linked to the formalization of economies, as they increase traceability and reduce reliance on cash-based informal

transactions (Ugwo and Aladebumoye, 2023). However, these outcomes are not universally accepted. This is because these benefits are generally theoretical or anticipated and depend on certain conditions such as widespread digital access, technological infrastructure and user awareness.

While digital payment systems have many benefits, there are certain limitations and concerns identified in existing research. One major challenge is the digital divide. This is due to unequal access to technology and digital infrastructure among certain populations, particularly limited internet connectivity (Stephen, 2025). Closely related to this are barriers to adoption, such as low levels of financial awareness, limited digital literacy or trust. In addition, there are concerns about cybersecurity and data privacy due to increased risks of data breaches, fraud and system vulnerabilities, as digital transactions involve the sharing of sensitive information. While digital systems provide transparency and traceability, they introduce new risks that were not present in cash-based transactions. It is important to understand that scholars provide contrasting viewpoints on many of these issues: some scholars argue that digital systems democratize access to financial services, while others suggest they reinforce existing inequalities by benefiting those who are digitally and financially included. Therefore, the impact of such innovations cannot be viewed as positive or uniform, but rather contingent on broader socio-economic conditions and institutional support.

CASE STUDY: INDIA'S UNIFIED PAYMENTS INTERFACE

Unified Payments Interface (UPI) was developed by the National Payments Corporation of India (NPCI) and launched on April 11th 2016, as part of India's broader push towards a digital economy. It was designed to address several problems: mainly the fragmentation of digital payment systems, the lack of interoperability among banks, the continued reliance on cash and security concerns (Singh, 2025). Its development closely aligns with the Government of India's broader policies to promote financial inclusion and digitalization. Through supportive regulation and infrastructure development, the government has played a key role in the rapid adoption of UPI (PIB, 2026).

UPI enables real-time, bank-to-bank transfers via a simple interface on mobile devices. It eliminates the need to enter detailed bank information, simplifying transactions through QR codes, virtual payment addresses or UPI-registered mobile numbers. One key feature is interoperability across banks, allowing payments to be sent or received between different banking institutions through a single platform (Bisht, 2026). UPI facilitates real-time transactions, ensuring instant fund transfers, whereas traditional payment systems like NEFT process transactions in batches, which can take a few hours (Pradeep, 2024). The reduction in transaction costs also makes it a cost-effective choice for receiving payments. By combining speed, accessibility and interoperability, UPI has fundamentally simplified digital payments and reduced dependence on cash-based transactions (Bisht, 2026).

UPI has experienced very rapid growth since its launch, making it one of the fastest-growing digital payment systems in India. A study by the Press Information Bureau (PIB) shows that the number of UPI transactions has increased from 2.80 billion in June 2021 to 18.39 billion in June 2025 (PIB, 2025a). This

expansion has positioned UPI as the backbone of India's retail payments infrastructure. By FY2025-26, the platform accounted for approximately 85% of India's digital payment volume while supporting over 700 participating banks, illustrating how a financial innovation can evolve into essential national infrastructure rather than simply another payment platform (PIB, 2025b). A key driver of this expansion has been integration with major digital payment platforms such as Paytm, Google Pay and PhonePe. These apps have played a central role in bringing merchants and consumers onto the system by enabling quick QR-based payments and seamless transfers. In this way, they remove restrictions and increase convenience. More importantly, UPI benefits from powerful networks. These network effects have become increasingly self-reinforcing. As more merchants accept UPI, consumers have a greater incentive to adopt it, while growing consumer adoption, in turn, encourages additional merchants and financial institutions to join the network (Bank for International Settlements, 2024). This positive feedback mechanism has been central to UPI's rapid diffusion throughout the Indian financial ecosystem (Banton, 2026).

Socio-Economic Impact Analysis of UPI

Financial Inclusion and Accessibility

Financial inclusion means having access to affordable and usable financial services by removing barriers, particularly for those excluded from the formal financial system (Grant, 2025). It is the central objective of financial innovation in developing countries like India, where structural barriers such as a lack of technological infrastructure, high account-maintenance costs, low income levels and low financial literacy have restricted access to financial services (RBI, 2020). UPI has contributed towards this objective by enabling low-cost, instant and secure transactions. Unlike many traditional banking services that require in-person visits to branches, UPI enables users to conduct transactions directly through mobile applications linked to existing bank accounts, significantly reducing both financial and geographical barriers to participation. By linking mobile devices with the banking system, the need for physical bank branches and extensive documentation has been reduced, thereby lowering entry barriers. This has allowed many individuals in semi-urban and rural areas to participate in financial transactions with ease. As a result, UPI has expanded participation in the formal financial system, supporting broader objectives of financial inclusion, digital empowerment and economic formalization (Haque and Akhtar, 2025).

The scale of this transformation further strengthens the argument that UPI has become more than simply a payment mechanism. According to NPCI transaction statistics, UPI now processes billions of transactions each month, demonstrating that digital financial services have become embedded within everyday economic activity rather than remaining a niche innovation. This widespread adoption suggests that financial innovation can significantly accelerate access to formal financial systems when supported by strong public infrastructure and widespread banking penetration.

However, this contribution cannot be viewed as universally effective. The benefits of UPI are unevenly distributed as its use depends heavily on access to smartphones, reliable internet connectivity and adequate digital literacy. These remain limited in certain segments of the population, especially the

elderly and people from backward areas. Furthermore, simply owning a bank account does not necessarily translate into meaningful financial inclusion. Many individuals continue to rely on others to conduct digital transactions or remain hesitant to adopt digital payments due to concerns about fraud, technological complexity and a lack of confidence in digital platforms. Consequently, while UPI reduces structural barriers to financial participation, social and educational barriers continue to restrict its inclusiveness. UPI demonstrates the potential to enhance financial inclusion by reducing traditional barriers; it does not ensure equitable outcomes. This shows that innovation alone is insufficient without improvements in infrastructure and education (Dubey and Jindal, 2026).

UPI, Small Businesses and the Informal Economy

A particularly significant socio-economic contribution of UPI has been its impact on India's informal economy, which employs a substantial share of the country's workforce and has traditionally relied heavily on cash. Prior to the widespread adoption of digital payments, many small businesses, street vendors and micro-enterprises faced barriers in accepting non-cash payments due to the digital payment infrastructure remaining limited and merchant payment systems often depending on specialized point-of-sale technologies that were less accessible to smaller businesses (Bandura, 2021). UPI fundamentally altered this landscape by allowing merchants to accept digital payments using simple QR codes with minimal installation costs. As a result, even the smallest vendors can now participate in the digital economy without requiring sophisticated payment infrastructure.

Beyond increasing payment convenience, this transition generates wider economic benefits. Digital transaction histories create verifiable financial records that can improve the creditworthiness of small businesses, allowing financial institutions to better assess lending risk (V. and Satish, 2024). This potentially expands access to formal credit for enterprises that previously operated almost entirely outside documented financial systems. Greater transaction transparency may also improve inventory management, business planning and financial decision-making, increasing productivity over time. In this sense, UPI contributes not only to payment efficiency but also to the gradual formalization and professionalization of micro-enterprises.

Nevertheless, these benefits are not experienced equally across all businesses. Smaller merchants located in rural regions may still struggle with unstable internet connectivity, limited smartphone ownership or insufficient digital literacy. Some businesses also continue to prefer cash because it avoids transaction visibility or because customers themselves remain cash-dependent. Therefore, while UPI has significantly lowered the barriers to digital commerce, its ability to transform the informal economy ultimately depends on broader improvements in digital infrastructure, education and institutional support rather than the payment technology alone.

Consumer Behavior and Digital Payment Adoption

One of the most significant impacts of digital payment innovation is the shift in consumer behavior from cash-based transactions to digital payment systems. UPI has played a major role in this transformation.

The convenience, speed and ease of use of UPI have encouraged more frequent digital payments, even for small transactions that were previously paid for in cash. This has become a more practical option because of features such as QR code integration, diverse payment options, unified banking access and round-the-clock accessibility (PIB, 2024).

The increased usage is linked to rising levels of trust in digital systems. As consumers experience secure, efficient cashless transactions, their confidence in them grows. In this way, there is a noticeable shift in behavior, as UPI is now being used in routine consumer activities. Importantly, this represents more than a technological substitution from cash to digital payments. Frequent exposure to seamless digital transactions gradually normalizes cashless behavior, reducing the psychological dependence on physical currency and encouraging consumers to integrate digital payments into everyday financial decision-making. The increasing use of UPI for low-value purchases, including street food, transport and local retail, demonstrates how financial innovation can reshape routine economic behavior rather than merely improving payment efficiency.

However, this shift is not uniform across all demographic groups. The adoption of UPI is more pronounced among the younger and urban populations, as they are better equipped to navigate these mobile platforms. In contrast, older individuals and people from rural areas may still rely heavily on cash due to limited digital literacy, lack of access and habit (Johari, 2024). Behavioral resistance also reflects issues of trust, familiarity and perceived security rather than purely technological constraints. Consequently, although UPI has successfully influenced consumer payment preferences on a national scale, the pace of behavioral transformation varies considerably across socioeconomic and demographic groups. Thus, its impact varies across different sections of society. This highlights that behavioral transformation through financial innovation remains uneven.

CONCLUSION

This paper examined the role of financial innovation, positioning India as the fastest-growing payment market, with UPI considered a central financial innovation. The aim of the paper was to explore the extent to which UPI, beyond technological adoption, has contributed to broader socio-economic transformation in India.

Innovation is a crucial process for advancement in any industry. In the financial industry specifically, financial innovation can take product, process and institutional forms. As explored, payment systems have evolved drastically from cash to cards and, eventually, to real-time digital platforms, underscoring the role of technology and the combined influence of technological, institutional and demand-side drivers in adoption.

Theoretically, digital payments are associated with efficiency gains, financial inclusion and economic formalization, but there are also concerns about the digital divide, low financial literacy and data security.

Building on this case, the case study shows that UPI is a significant financial innovation that has transformed India's payment landscape. Overall, the socio-economic impact of UPI demonstrates both the transformative potential and the limitations of financial innovation. While UPI has substantially improved payment efficiency, expanded financial inclusion, encouraged digital consumer behavior and supported the gradual formalization of economic activity, these benefits remain unevenly distributed across India's population. Persistent digital divides relating to income, geography, education and technological infrastructure continue to restrict access for many users. Furthermore, the growing prevalence of digital payment fraud, cybersecurity risks, and dependence on reliable internet connectivity create new challenges that did not exist in cash-based systems.

Therefore, the evidence suggests that UPI has contributed to meaningful socio-economic transformation to a considerable extent, but its long-term success depends upon complementary investments in digital infrastructure, cybersecurity, financial literacy and inclusive public policy. Rather than eliminating existing inequalities, financial innovation often reshapes them, meaning that technological progress must be accompanied by institutional and social development if its benefits are to be distributed equitably.

That said, this study has significant methodological limitations that must be considered when interpreting its findings. Firstly, the analysis relies entirely on secondary evidence and therefore does not directly capture the experiences or perceptions of UPI users, small merchants or informal sector participants. Primary research through interviews, surveys or field observations could have provided more direct evidence of how UPI affects different socio-economic groups. Secondly, as a literature-based case study, the findings depend on the availability, quality and scope of existing research and institutional data, which may place greater emphasis on measurable indicators such as transaction volumes and adoption than on less easily quantified social outcomes. The findings should therefore be interpreted as an evidence-based evaluation of the UPI case study rather than an exhaustive or causally definitive assessment of its socio-economic impacts.

REFERENCES

- Ailani, G. (2025). *Financial Innovation*. [online] WallStreetMojo. Available at: <https://www.wallstreetmojo.com/financial-innovation/>.
- Arizu, A. (2024). *The Evolution of Payment Systems - ARGOZ Consultants*. [online] ARGOZ Consultants. Available at: <https://argozconsultants.com/the-evolution-of-payment-systems/>.
- Bandura, R. (2021). *Developing Inclusive Digital Payment Systems*. [online] Wwww.csis.org. Available at: <https://www.csis.org/analysis/developing-inclusive-digital-payment-systems>
- Bank for International Settlements (2024). *Faster digital payments: Global and regional perspectives*. [online] Bis.org. Available at: <https://www.bis.org/publ/bppdf/bispap152.htm>

- Banton, C. (2026). *What Is the Network Effect?* [online] Investopedia. Available at: <https://www.investopedia.com/terms/n/network-effect.asp>.
- Bhatia, S. (2026). *Digital Payment In India: Meaning & Types of Digital Payments*. [online] Razorpay. Available at: <https://razorpay.com/learn/what-is-digital-payments/#Benefits-of-Digital-Payments>.
- Bisht, S. (2026). *What is UPI?: Unified Payments Interface Features and How UPI Works?* [online] Razorpay. Available at: https://razorpay.com/blog/what-is-upi-and-how-it-works/#Features_of_Unified_Payments_Interface_UPI.
- Capgemini Research Institute (2026). *World Payments Report 2022*. [online] Capgemini. Available at: <https://www.capgemini.com/insights/research-library/world-payments-report/>.
- Chen, J. (2021). *Financial Innovation*. [online] Investopedia. Available at: <https://www.investopedia.com/terms/f/financial-innovation.asp>.
- Christensen Institute (2025). *Disruptive Innovation Theory*. [online] Christensen Institute. Available at: <https://www.christenseninstitute.org/theory/disruptive-innovation/>.
- Dubey, R.S. and Jindal, M. (2026). Challenges in the Adoption of Digital Payment in Rural Areas of Uttar Pradesh: A Comprehensive Analysis. *Management Journal for Advanced Research*, 6(2), pp.21–29. doi:10.54741/mjar/6.2.2026.293.
- Edenred Payment Solutions (2025). *Digital Payment Systems: Benefits, challenges, and adoption*. [online] Edenred.com. Available at: <https://eps.edenred.com/blog/digital-payment-systems>.
- Grant, M. (2025). *Financial Inclusion: Definition, Examples, and Why It's Important*. [online] Investopedia. Available at: <https://www.investopedia.com/terms/f/financial-inclusion.asp>.
- Haque, M.I., N.P, A.A. and Akhtar, S.M.J. (2025). UPI and Financial Inclusion in Rural India: A Case Study. *Development and Sustainability in Economics and Finance*, [online] 6, p.100056. doi:10.1016/j.dsef.2025.100056.
- Ho, H., Han, S.-M., Cha, J. and Pham, L. (2025). Mobile Banking Customer Satisfaction and Loyalty: The Roles of Technology Readiness. *Journal of risk and financial management*, [online] 18(7), pp.403–403. doi:10.3390/jrfm18070403.
- Jain, N. (2023). *What is Innovation? Definition, Types, Examples and Process*. [online] IdeaScale. Available at: <https://ideascale.com/blog/what-is-innovation/>.
- Johari, A. (2024). *Unified Payments Interface and Financial Inclusion in Rural India A Study of Uttar Pradesh*. [online] Available at: <https://eplus.uni-salzburg.at/Abschlussarbeiten/content/titleinfo/10401395/full.pdf>.

- Kopp, C.M. (2023). *Creative Destruction: Out With the Old, in With the New*. [online] Investopedia. Available at: <https://www.investopedia.com/terms/c/creativestruction.asp>.
- NPCI (2025). *UPI*. [online] Npci.org.in. Available at: <https://www.npci.org.in/product/upi>.
- PIB (2024). *UPI: Revolutionizing Digital Payments in India*. [online] Pib.gov.in. Available at: <https://www.pib.gov.in/PressReleasePage.aspx?PRID=2079544®=48&lang=2>.
- PIB (2025a). *India's UPI Revolution*. [online] Pib.gov.in. Available at: <https://www.pib.gov.in/PressNoteDetails.aspx?NoteId=154912&ModuleId=3®=3&lang=2>.
- PIB (2025b). *UPI completes 10 glorious years, Emerges as World's Largest Real-Time Payments Platform, Anchoring India's Digital Economy*. [online] Pib.gov.in. Available at: <https://www.pib.gov.in/pressreleasepage.aspx?prid=2257087&lang=2®=48>
- PIB (2026). *Socio-Economic Impact Analysis of Incentive Scheme for Promotion of RuPay Debit Card and low-value BHIM-UPI Transactions (P2M)'' at Chintan Shivir 2026*. [online] Pib.gov.in. Available at: <https://www.pib.gov.in/PressReleasePage.aspx?PRID=2228651®=3&lang=2>.
- Pradeep, A. (2024). *NEFT Vs UPI: Understanding the Differences in Digital Payments*. [online] Razorpay Learn. Available at: <https://razorpay.com/learn/neft-vs-upi/>.
- Prajapati, M. (2023). *Cloud Development for Payments: 4 Proven Ways to Scale & Secure*. [online] Tenupsoft.com. Available at: <https://www.tenupsoft.com/blog/cloud-development-key-to-future-of-digital-payments.html>.
- Ramayanti, R., Rachmawati, N.A., Azhar, Z. and Azman, N.H.N. (2023). Exploring intention and actual use in digital payments: A systematic review and roadmap for future research. *Computers in Human Behavior Reports*, [online] 13, p.100348. doi:10.1016/j.chbr.2023.100348.
- RBI (2020). *National strategy for Financial Inclusion*. [online] Available at: https://www.rbi.org.in/commonman/Upload/English/Content/PDFs/English_16042021.pdf.
- Robert, J. (2025). *Digital Wallet API*. [online] Its Released. Available at: https://itsreleased.com/digital-wallet-api/?Understanding_the_Digital_Wallet_API.
- Singh, S. (2025). *India's UPI Breakthrough — Global Benchmark in Product Innovation*. [online] Medium. Available at: <https://simranjotsinghsran.medium.com/indias-upi-breakthrough-global-benchmark-in-product-innovation-49c087fd2160>.

Stephen, J.M. (2025). DIGITAL PAYMENT SYSTEMS AND THEIR IMPACT ON FINANCIAL INCLUSION. © 2025 *IJRTI* |, [online] 10, pp.2456–3315. Available at: <https://www.ijrti.org/papers/IJRTI2508118.pdf>.

Ugwo, P. and Aladebumoye, T. (2023). Digital payment systems and informal economy formalization: Empirical evidence from fintech initiatives in Sub-Saharan Africa. *International Journal of Financial Management and Economics*, 6(1), pp.298–300. doi:10.33545/26179210.2023.v6.i1.696.

V., M. and Satish, M. (2024). The Role of Fintech Lending Platforms in Improving Credit Access for MSMEs. *Journal of Informations Education and Research*, [online] 4(3), pp.1845–1855. Available at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/398063427_The_Role_of_Fintech_Lending_Platforms_in_Improving_Credit_Access_for_MSMEs

World Bank Group (2025). *Financial Inclusion*. [online] Worldbank.org. Available at: <https://www.worldbank.org/ext/en/topic/financial-sector/financial-inclusion>.