OXFORD JOURNAL
OF STUDENT
SCHOLARSHIP

Attention, Memory, and Classroom Design: A Review of Environment-Based Learning Strategies

JoAnn Morris
joannmorricloud@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

The integration of cognitive psychology into pedagogical practice represents a critical frontier for improving student outcomes. This review investigates the complex relationship between the cognitive processes of attention and memory, exploring how their biological interplay influences the mechanisms of learning. Through a synthesis of neurobiological evidence and applications of environmental psychology, this paper identifies specific variables that modulate attentional filtering and mnemonic encoding. Key variables analyzed include correlated color temperature (CCT), spatial variability, and tactile engagement. Drawing upon primary research, this review demonstrates how specific design principles, such as lighting and classroom layouts, act as biological interventions that facilitate selective attention and mitigate cognitive load. The findings suggest that when classroom design aligns with the dynamic nature of these neurological processes, student engagement and retention significantly improve. This review proposes a framework for flexible learning spaces, providing evidence-based strategies for educators and policymakers to restructure the physical classroom to improve cognitive performance and long-term retention. Ultimately, the paper concludes that the educational environment is a critical component of the cognitive processes of learning and argues for systemic integration of neurobiological principles to improve student success.

INTRODUCTION

In the field of cognitive psychology, the processes of memory and attention are fundamental to the mechanics of learning. While traditional educational models often treat these functions as distinct, neurobiological evidence suggests that these functions have a mutually dependent architecture. The connection between attention and memory is the primary pathway through which external stimuli are converted into internal knowledge. As global and national educational standards face increasing challenges regarding student engagement and retention, a comprehensive understanding of how attentional filtering modulates mnemonic encoding is necessary. This review synthesizes existing research to describe the relationship between the cognitive processes of attention and memory and evaluates how this relationship can potentially affect educational strategies for optimized student performance, specifically regarding environmental context and classroom design interventions.

NEUROLOGICAL FOUNDATIONS OF ATTENTION AND MEMORY

Attention

Attention serves as the primary cognitive gateway, functioning as a biological filter that navigates a vast daily influx of sensory information. Its primary role is to spotlight pertinent stimuli while actively suppressing irrelevant data that could otherwise overwhelm the brain's processing capacity (Atabaki et al., 2009). In the foundational study "Towards the Neural Basis of Spatial Attention," researchers demonstrate that this spotlighting is a dynamic process that allows the brain to sort through competing sources of information (Atabaki et al., 2009). Chun and Turk-Browne (2007) expand upon this by identifying the frontal parietal networks as the most neurologically active regions during attentional shifts. In a high-competition environment like a classroom, where visual, auditory, and social stimuli constantly vie for focus, the efficiency of these frontal parietal networks determines whether a student successfully encodes a lesson or loses focus to environmental noise.

However, the process of attention is rarely a state of static focus. Instead, it consists of a recurring series of fluctuating levels of engagement, described by Lewis-Peacock and Postle (2012) as a randomized rhythm. The human brain naturally oscillates between states of concentrated attentiveness and periods of diversion. Because this fluctuation is often unintentional and biologically driven, it presents a significant challenge for educators and traditional instructional models. This instability is further complicated by the fact that levels of awareness change constantly across both implicit and explicit tasks (Lewis-Peacock & Postle, 2012). Chun and Turk-Browne (2007) further note that the ability to maintain divided attention is highly sensitive to the specific features of the environment, particularly within tactile, auditory, and visual contexts. This suggests that the physical classroom is an important variable that can either stabilize or disrupt this fragile attentional rhythm.

Furthermore, attention must be understood as a complex intertwining of controlled and automatic processing (Oberauer, 2019). In the conceptual review "Working Memory and Attention," Oberauer (2019) emphasizes that the cognitive system of attention utilizes both deliberate, intentional control (top-down) and automatic, involuntary responses (bottom-up). These psychophysical demonstrations, including both overt and covert shifts in focus, indicate that attention is often captured by the environment regardless of a student's desire to stay on task. Consequently, the relevant research suggests that for a classroom to be effective, it must be intentionally designed to support a student's deliberate focus while simultaneously minimizing the environmental triggers that provoke involuntary distraction.

Memory

Memory functions as a multifaceted cognitive system, essential for synthesizing the fabric of human experience within the intricate workings of the brain (Chun & Turk-Browne, 2007). This system is traditionally categorized into three distinct stages: the encoding of new sensory input, the long-term storage of that data, and the subsequent retrieval of information. At the biological core of this process is the hippocampus, where the formation of new neurons plays a fundamental role in establishing memory traces (Kumar et al., 2019). Kumar and colleagues (2019) describe that neurogenesis–the birth of new neurons–is possible within the adult hippocampus. This discovery suggests that the brain maintains a perpetual capacity for creating new memories, a finding reinforced by Chun and Turk-Browne (2007), who identified the medial temporal lobe as a primary region responsible for these mnemonic subprocesses.

The functional scope of memory extends from the working memory, which describes the temporary grasp of information used for immediate problem-solving, to the enduring repository of long-term memory. According to Cowan (2014), while short-term working memory allows for active cognitive processing and the manipulation of information, long-term memory is what permits the lasting retention of academic knowledge. This physiological distinction is most famously illustrated by the historical case of the patient Henry Molaison (HM). Following a surgical procedure that removed his hippocampus, HM suffered from a profound inability to encode new episodic memories, yet his ability to recall information acquired prior to the surgery remained intact (Lewis-Peacock & Postle, 2012). The HM case study provides empirical evidence that the hippocampus is specifically required for the initial encoding of information, whereas long-term recollection likely involves a broader neural network (Lewis-Peacock & Postle, 2012). For the educator, this underscores the fragility of the encoding phase. If a student's hippocampal activity is not optimized during a lesson, the information may never transition into permanent storage (Dunlosky & Rawson, 2015).

Influencing these memory systems is a process called neuroplasticity, which is the brain's remarkable capacity to reorganize its physical structure by forming new synaptic connections (Puderbaugh & Emmady, 2023). Neuroplasticity represents the biological mechanism of learning itself, as it allows the brain to adapt through experience and repeated practice. In an educational context, this adaptiveness is the engine of skill acquisition. As Puderbaugh and Emmady (2023) emphasize, a deeper understanding of how to optimize neuroplasticity can lead to the development of more effective learning strategies. By aligning classroom instruction with the brain's nature, education can facilitate long term retention and lead to more accessible student knowledge.

Relationship Between Attention and Memory

The intricate interplay between attention and memory lies at the biological heart of cognitive function, shaping a student's ability to perceive, process, and ultimately retain academic information (Lewis-Peacock & Postle, 2012). While the exact neural mechanisms that bridge these two processes remain a subject of active investigation, research indicates a mutually beneficial relationship. For instance, Chun and Turk-Browne (2007) highlight the role of contextual memory in cueing attention. In this framework, a student's past memories act as an internal guide, allowing them to more efficiently attend to relevant stimuli in the present. This suggests that memory can be a tool that sharpens the processes of selective attention.

Further exploring this connection, Lewis-Peacock and Postle's (2012) elucidates that working memory serves as a canvas upon which the internal mechanisms of attention operate. They also argue that specific attentional controls are responsible for the allocation of cognitive resources within the working memory state. This being said, there is a noticeable link between sustained attention and the efficacy of short-term processing (Lewis-Peacock & Postle, 2012). If the attentional mechanism is fractured by environmental interference, the canvas of working memory becomes cluttered, preventing the successful transition of data into long-term storage.

Despite the clear association between these attentional and mnemonic processes, the underlying theories regarding their integration vary across the cognitive sciences. Oberauer (2019) conducted a deeper exploration into the dynamics of controlled attention, examining whether the two processes are distinct or if they rely on a shared neural resource. While current evidence lacks a definitive conclusion to prove a single theory, the research underscores that a robust relationship exists and is constantly modulated by external factors (Atabaki et al., 2009).

The theoretical debate highlights a critical divide in cognitive psychology. On one side, some models suggest that attention acts as a strict bottleneck that filters out noise before it can ever reach working memory processes (Atabaki et al., 2009). Conversely, other models argue that all surrounding stimuli are analyzed automatically (Oberauer, 2019). For educational purposes, this distinction is critical. If bottleneck models are correct, any visual or auditory distraction in a classroom has the potential to completely block a student's capacity to process a lesson. If the automatic processing models are more accurate, students could still be processing distractions on a subconscious level, which can leave less neurological power for the hippocampus to function effectively.

Ultimately, the synthesis of work by Cowan (2014), and Chun and Turk-Browne (2007) suggests that attention and memory should be viewed as a unified system rather than isolated functions. This means that any pedagogical intervention must address both halves of the equation, meaning that educators and policymakers should take both attentional and mnemonic processes into account when creating classrooms. This complex, reciprocal relationship paves the way for a more sophisticated understanding of educational design, where the physical classroom is engineered to support the fluid dynamics between these two cognitive functions.

ENVIRONMENTAL MODULATORS OF COGNITIVE PERFORMANCE

While the relationship between attention and memory remains a subject of ongoing neurological debate, the practical implications for classroom designs are immediate and clear. If the physical environment fails to account for the constraints of the frontal parietal network and the hippocampus, the learning process is fundamentally obstructed. This review proposes four evidence-based design interventions to optimize these cognitive pathways.

Optimal Lighting

The most critical environmental variable identified in the literature is lighting. Because attention is a fluctuating randomized rhythm, the environment must provide the proper context to maintain alertness (Lewis-Peacock & Postle, 2012). Mott et al. (2014) demonstrate that lighting scenarios utilizing a higher correlated color temperature (CCT), specifically 6500 kelvin (K), significantly improve concentration and memory retention. In their study of secondary education environments, students exposed to these blue-enriched lighting conditions demonstrated marked improvements in oral reading fluency scores compared to those in standard lighting (Mott et al., 2014). The practical implications of Mott et al. (2014) findings extend into long-term institutional benefits. Implementing such blue-enriched, high CCT lighting systems serves as systemic intervention that directly influences academic equity. Optimized lighting strategies suggest that minor environmental modifications can proactively stabilize the randomized rhythm of attention across an entire group of students. Schools can also use this information to maximize the efficiency of standard instructional hours, potentially reducing the need for costly secondary interventions. Lekan-Kehinde and Asojo (2021) further validate this by concluding that leveraging specific luminosity and color temperatures enhances task-switching abilities. Moreover, Samani and Samani's (2012) study corroborates these ideas, adding that improved lighting can increase motivation among learners. Biologically, this suggests that 6500K lighting suppresses melatonin and stimulates functions of the frontal parietal network, effectively preparing the brain for the encoding phase of memory. Understanding this biological mechanism allows policymakers and school administrators to use lighting and illumination as an active catalyst for mnemonic encoding.

Structural Elements

Beyond specialized lighting, the baseline structural health of a classroom dictates the cognitive load a student has the ability to process. Cheryan et al. (2014) emphasize that inadequacies in air quality, excessive noise, and poor illumination act as persistent distractors that hinder academic achievement, particularly for vulnerable student populations. When the brain is forced to use attentional resources to filter out physical discomfort or environmental noise, fewer resources are available for the hippocampus to consolidate new information. Conversely, symbolic elements such as inclusive wall decor and modular seating arrangements foster a sense of belonging and psychological safety. This reduction in environmental stress minimizes the interference that Atabaki et al. (2009) identified as a primary barrier to selective attention.

Spatial Variability

To prevent attentional stagnation, classrooms must embrace spatial variability. The research of Smith et al. (2017) indicates that diverse learning contexts actually strengthen the memory trace. In their 2017 experiments, they demonstrated that students who learn information in varying environments are better prepared for real-world applications and exhibit higher knowledge transferability. This suggests that the traditional model of fixed-desks may actually be counter-productive to long-term retention. In a separate study conducted by Byers and Imms (2017), it was found that dynamic, flexible, and adaptive spaces "have a significant and positive effect on a teacher's pedagogical approach and therefore his/her students' level of engagement" (Byers & Imms, 2017). Their findings add to the credibility of the correlation between classroom spaces and student learning by proving the existence of such relations in their study (Byers & Imms, 2017). By utilizing varying classroom layouts and spatial contexts, educators can mirror the dynamic nature of attention described by Lewis-Peacock and Postle (2012), ensuring that the environment remains a novel stimulus that keeps the frontal parietal network engaged.

Tactile Engagement

Finally, the connection between physical interaction and memory provides a secondary pathway for mnemonic encoding. Arya and Maul (2012) found that physical interaction with learning materials promotes deeper comprehension and student autonomy. This is supported by the neurological findings of Chun and Turk-Browne (2007), which revealed significantly higher attentiveness during tactile tasks than during passive visual tasks. By engaging the somatosensory cortex alongside the visual and auditory centers, tactical engagement creates a deeper memory. This aligns with the findings of Dunlosky and Rawson (2015) regarding successive relearning; when a student physically interacts with a subject, they are engaging in a form of practice testing that makes future memory retrieval more efficient.

This synthesized research underscores the importance of aligning classroom layout and design with cognitive principles to enhance education. From optimal lighting and inclusive symbolic elements to adaptable environments and hands-on engagement, a thoughtfully designed classroom can significantly improve student achievement and prepare learners for success beyond school. Given the evidence supporting the role of classroom design, educators and policymakers should consider implementing flexible learning spaces and optimizing environmental factors to support cognitive function.

DISCUSSION

While the integration of neurobiological principles into classroom design offers a clear pathway toward enhanced academic performance, limitations can arise regarding the translation of laboratory data into real-world educational environments due to the introduction of several complex variables. First, a primary limitation of the existing literature is that much of the core neurological research, such as the spatial attention studies conducted by Atabaki et al. (2009), occurs within highly controlled and isolated laboratory settings. By contrast, a functioning classroom can be described as a chaotic environment populated by dozens of unpredictable social, behavioral, and emotional variables. Consequently, while certain strategies like tactile tasks or 6500K lighting show clear-cut cognitive benefits in a lab, their efficiency may be modulated in practice by factors like peer distractions or varying teaching styles.

Furthermore, the implementation of these environmental designs raises critical questions regarding economics. Advanced architectural processes, such as high-CCT dynamic lighting systems or flexible furniture, require substantial economic investment. Underfunded public school districts, which frequently serve historically marginalized student populations, often struggle with basic infrastructure maintenance, let alone advanced cognitive optimization. Therefore, future research must focus on identifying low-cost interventions or alternatives, such as strategic desk rearrangements or natural lighting maximization, that can provide support without requiring massive funding.

CONCLUSION

This review demonstrates that attention and memory are not isolated functions but a synergistic system that has the ability to dictate educational success. By integrating prior studies, including research on frontal parietal attentional shifts, hippocampal encoding, and environmental variables, this review proposes a framework for optimal classroom design. While the relationship between these processes remains complex, the evidence supports a shift toward flexible, multi-sensory, and biologically optimized learning spaces. Future research should investigate the effects of implementation of the proposed classroom adaptations on student improvement and continue to identify the precise neural mechanisms linking attention and memory to further transform educational practices.

REFERENCES

Arya, D. J., & Maul, A. (2012). The role of the scientific discovery narrative in middle school science education: An experimental study. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 104*(4), 1022–1032. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0028108

Atabaki, A., Ignashchenkova, A., Kamphuis, S., & Thier, P. (2009). Towards the neural basis of spatial attention: Studies in monkeys and man. *Neuro-Ophthalmology*, *33*(3), 132–141. https://doi.org/10.1080/01658100902998740

Byers, T., & Imms, W. (2017). Impact of classroom design on teacher pedagogy and student engagement and performance in mathematics. *Learning Environments Research*, *20*, 139-152. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10984-016-9210-0

Cheryan, S., Ziegler, S. A., Plaut, V. C., & Meltzoff, A. N. (2014). Design classrooms to maximize student achievement. *Sage*, *1*(1), 4-12. https://doi.org/10.1177/2372732214548677

Chun, M. M., & Turk-Browne, N. B. (2007). Interactions between attention and memory. *Current Opinion in Neurobiology*, *17*(2), 177–184. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conb.2007.03.005

Cowan N. (2014). Working memory underpins cognitive development, learning, and education. *Educational Psychology Review*, *26*(2), 197–223. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-013-9246-y

Dunlosky, J., & Rawson, K. A. (2015). Practice tests, spaced practice, and successive relearning: Tips for classroom use and for guiding students' learning. *Scholarship of Teaching and Learning in Psychology, 1*(1), 72–78. https://doi.org/10.1037/stl0000024

Kumar, A., Pareek, V., Faiq, M. A., Ghosh, S. K., & Kumari, C. (2019). Adult neurogenesis in humans: A review of basic concepts, history, current research, and clinical implications. *Innovations in Clinical Neuroscience*, *16*(5-6), 30–37.

Lekan-Kehinde, M., & Asojo, A. O. (2021). Impact of lighting on children's learning environment: A literature review. *WIT Transactions on Ecology and the Environment*, *253*, 371–380. https://doi.org/10.2495/SC210311

Lewis-Peacock, J. A., & Postle, B. R. (2012). Decoding the internal focus of attention. *Neuropsychologia*, *50*(4), 470–478. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2011.11.006

Mott, M. S., Robinson, D. H., Williams-Black, T. H., & McClelland, S. S. (2014). The supporting effects of high luminous conditions on grade 3 oral reading fluency scores. *SpringerPlus*, *3*(53). https://doi.org/10.1186/2193-1801-3-53

Oberauer, K. (2019). Working memory and attention – A conceptual analysis and review. *Journal of Cognition*, *2*(1), 36. https://doi.org/10.5334/joc.58

Puderbaugh, M., & Emmady, P. D. (2023). Neuroplasticity. In StatPearls. *StatPearls Publishing*. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK557811/

Samani, S. A., & Samani, S. A. (2012). The impact of indoor lighting on students' learning performance in learning environments: A knowledge internalization perspective. *International Journal of Business and Social Science*, *3*(24), 127-136.

Smith, S. M., Glenberg, A., & Bjork, R. A. (2017) Environmental context and human memory. *Memory & Cognition, 6*(4), 342–353. https://doi.org/10.3758/BF03197465